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#### Abstract

Résumé. Nous construisons le foncteur de Weil $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ correspondant à une algèbre de Weil $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ : c'est un foncteur de la catégorie des variétés différentiables sur un corps ou anneau topologique $\mathbb{K}$ (de caractéristique quelconque) vers la catégorie des variétés définies sur $\mathbb{A}$. Ce résultat généralise simultanément ceux sur les foncteurs de Weil classiques (cf. [KMS93]) et ceux conernant les foncteurs tangent itérés et les foncteurs de jets généraux ([Be08, Be13]). Nous étudions des aspects algébriques de ces foncteurs (leur " $K$-théorie" et l'action du "groupe de Galois" $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$ ), en vue d'applications en géométrie différentielle.


#### Abstract

We construct the Weil functor $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ corresponding to a general Weil algebra $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ : this is a functor from the category of manifolds over a general topological base field or ring $\mathbb{K}$ (of arbitrary characteristic) to the category of manifolds over $\mathbb{A}$. This result simultaneously generalizes results known for ordinary, real manifolds (cf. [KMS93]), and results obtained in $[\mathrm{Be} 08]$ for the case of the higher order tangent functors $\left(\mathbb{A}=T^{k} \mathbb{K}\right)$ and in $[\operatorname{Be13}]$ for the case of jet rings $\left(\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X^{k+1}\right)\right)$. We investigate some algebraic aspects of these general Weil functors (" $K$ theory of Weil functors", action of the "Galois group" Aut $_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$ ), which will be of importance for subsequent applications to general differential geometry.
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## 1. Introduction

The topic of the present work is the construction and investigation of general Weil functors, where the term "general" means: in arbitrary (finite or infinite) dimension, and over general topological base fields or rings. Compared to the (quite vast) existing literature on Weil functors (see, e.g., [KMS93, K08, K00, KM04]), this adds two novel viewpoints: on the one hand, extension of the theory to a very general context, including, for instance, base fields of positive characteristic, and on the other hand, introduction of the point of view of scalar extension, well-known in algebraic geometry, into the context of differential geometry. This aspect is new, even in the context of usual, finite-dimensional real manifolds. We start by explaining this item.

A quite elementary approach to differential calculus and -geometry over general base fields or -rings $\mathbb{K}$ has been defined and studied in [BGN04, Be08]; see [Be11] for an elementary exposition. The term "smooth" always refers to the concept explained there, and which is called "cubic smooth" in [Be13]. The base ring $\mathbb{K}$ is a commutative unital topological ring such that $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$, the unit group, is open dense in $\mathbb{K}$, and the inversion map $\mathbb{K}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $t \mapsto t^{-1}$ is continuous. For convenience, the reader may assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is a topological $\mathbf{k}$-algebra over some topological field $\mathbf{k}$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is his or her favorite field, for instance $\mathbf{k}=\mathbb{R}$, and one may think of $\mathbb{K}$ as $\mathbb{R} \oplus j \mathbb{R}$ with, for instance, $j^{2}=-1\left(\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}\right.$ ), or $j^{2}=1$ (the "para-complex numbers") or $j^{2}=0$ ("dual numbers"). In our setting, the analog of the "classical" Weil algebras, as defined, e.g., in [KMS93], is as follows:

Definition 1.1. $A$ Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebra is a commutative and associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}$, with unit 1 , of the form $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}}$, where $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a nilpotent ideal. We assume, moreover, $\mathcal{N}$ to be free and finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{K}$. We equip $\mathbb{A}$ with the product topology on $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{K}^{n}$ with respect to some (and hence any) $\mathbb{K}$-basis.

As is easily seen (Lemma 3.2), $\mathbb{A}$ is then again of the same kind as $\mathbb{K}$, hence it is selectable as a new base ring. Since an interesting Weil algebra $\mathbb{A}$ is never a field, this explains why we work with base rings, instead of fields. Our main results may now be summarized as follows (see Theorems 3.6 and 4.4 for details):

Theorem 1.2. Assume $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is a Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. Then, to any smooth $\mathbb{K}$-manifold $M$, one can associate a smooth manifold $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ such that:

1. the construction is functorial and compatible with cartesian products,
2. $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is a smooth manifold over $\mathbb{A}$ (hence also over $\mathbb{K}$ ), and for any $\mathbb{K}$-smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$, the corresponding map $T^{\mathbb{A}} f: T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow$ $T^{\mathbb{A}} N$ is smooth over $\mathbb{A}$,
3. the manifold $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is a bundle over the base $M$, and the bundle chart changes in $M$ are polynomial in fibers (we call this a polynomial bundle, cf. Definition 4.1),
4. if $M$ is an open submanifold $U$ of a topological $\mathbb{K}$-module $V$, then $T^{\mathbb{A}} U$ can be identified with the inverse image of $U$ under the canonical map $V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow V$, where $V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{A}$ is the usual scalar extension of $V$; if, in this context, $f: U \rightarrow W$ is a polynomial map, then $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ coincides with the algebraic scalar extension $f_{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$ of $f$.

The Weil functor $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ is uniquely determined by these properties.
The Weil bundles $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ are far-reaching generalizations of the tangent bundle $T M$, which arises in the special case of the "dual numbers over $\mathbb{K}$ ", $\mathbb{A}=T \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \varepsilon \mathbb{K}\left(\varepsilon^{2}=0\right)$. The theorem shows that the structure of the Weil bundle $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is encoded in the ring structure of $\mathbb{A}$ in a much stronger form than in the "classical" theory (as developed, e.g., in [KMS93]): the manifold $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ plays in all respects the rôle of a scalar extension of $M$, and hence $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ can be interpreted as a functor of scalar extension, and we could write $M_{\mathbb{A}}:=T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ - an interpretation that is certainly very common for mathematicians used to algebraic geometry, but rather unusual for someone used to classical differential geometry; in this respect, our results are certainly closer to the original ideas of André Weil ([W53]) than much of the existing literature. In subsequent work we will exploit this link between the "algebraic" and the "geometric" viewpoints to investigate features of differential geometry, most notably, bundles, connections, and notions of curvature.

The algebraic point of view naturally leads to emphasize in differential geometry certain aspects well-known from the algebraic theory. First, Weil
algebras and -bundles form a sort of " $K$-theory" with respect to the operations

1. tensor product: $\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B} \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{B}} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{B}}\right)$,
2. Whitney sum: $\mathbb{A} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}:=\left(\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}\right) /\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{B}}\right) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}} \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{B}}$.

Whereas (2) corresponds exactly to the Whitney sum of the corresponding bundles over $M$, one has to be a little bit careful with the bundle interpretation of (1) (Theorem 4.5): Weil bundles are in general not vector bundles, hence there is no plain notion of "tensor product"; in fact, (1) rather corresponds to composition of Weil functors:

$$
T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}} M \cong T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} M\right)
$$

Second, following the model of Galois theory, for understanding the structure of the Weil bundle $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ over $M$, it is important to study the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$. Indeed, by functoriality, any automorphism $\Phi$ of $\mathbb{A}$ induces canonically a diffeomorphism $\Phi_{M}: T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ which commutes with all $\mathbb{A}$-tangent maps $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$, for any $f$ belonging to the $\mathbb{K}$ diffeomorphism group of $M, \operatorname{Diff}_{\mathbb{K}}(M)$. Thus we have two commuting group actions on $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ : one of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$ and the other of $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathbb{K}}(M)$. An important special case is the one of a graded Weil algebra (Chapter 5; in [KM04] the term homogeneous Weil algebra is used): in this case, there exist "one-parameter subgroups" of automorphisms, and the Weil algebra carries as new structure a "composition like product" similar to the composition of formal power series (Theorem 5.7).

In [ Be 08 ] and $[\mathrm{Be} 13]$, two prominent cases of Weil functors have been studied, and the present work generalizes these results: the higher order tangent functors $T^{k}$, corresponding to the iterated tangent rings, $T^{k+1} \mathbb{K}:=$ $T\left(T^{k} \mathbb{K}\right)$, and the jet functors $\mathrm{J}^{k}$, corresponding to the (holonomic) jet rings $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}:=\mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X^{k+1}\right)$. Since both cases play a key rôle for the proof of our general result, we recall (and slightly extend) the results for these cases (see Appendices A and B). In particular, we describe in some detail the canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action, which appears already in the framework of difference calculus, and which gives rise to the natural grading of these Weil algebras.

The core part for the proof of Theorem 1.2 is a careful investigation of the relation between two foundational concepts, namely those of jet, and of Taylor expansion. As is well-known in the classical setting (see, e.g., [Re83]), both concepts are essentially equivalent, but the jet-concept is of an "invariant" or "geometric" nature, hence makes sense independently of a chart, whereas Taylor expansions can be written only with respect to a chart, hence are not of "invariant" nature. This is reflected by a slight difference in their behaviour with respect to composition of maps: for jets we have the "plainly functorial" composition rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k}(g \circ f)=\mathrm{J}_{f(x)}^{k} g \circ \mathrm{~J}_{x}^{k} f, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for Taylor polynomials (which we take here without constant term) we have truncated composition of polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k}(g \circ f)=\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{f(x)}^{k} g \circ \operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right) \quad \bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lack of functoriality is compensated by the advantage that, like every polynomial, the Taylor polynomial always admits algebraic scalar extensions, so that the $k$-th order Taylor polynomial $P=\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f: V \rightarrow W$ of a $\mathbb{K}$-smooth function $f: V \supset U \rightarrow W$ at $x \in U$ extends naturally to a polynomial $P_{\mathbb{A}}: V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{A} \rightarrow W \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{A}$. Our general construction of Weil functors combines both extension procedures: in a first step, based on differential calculus reviewed in Appendices A and B, we construct the jet functor $\mathrm{J}^{k}$, which associates to each smooth map $f$ its ("simplicial") $k$-jet $\mathrm{J}^{k} f$. Using this invariant object, we define in a second step the Taylor polynomial Tay ${ }_{x}^{k} f$ at $x$ by a "chart dependent" construction (Section 2.2), and then we prove the transformation rule (1.2) (Theorem 2.13). Note that, in the classical case, our Taylor polynomial Tay ${ }_{x}^{k} f$ coincides of course with the usual one, but we do not define it in the usual way in terms of higher order differentials (since we then would have to divide by $j$ !, which is impossible in arbitrary characteristic). In a third step, we consider the algebraic scalar extension of the Taylor polynomial from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ : if the degree $k$ is higher than the order of nilpotency of $\mathcal{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f:=\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}: V_{\mathcal{N}}:=V \otimes \mathcal{N} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{N}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies a plainly functorial transformation rule, so that finally the Weil functor $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ can be defined by $T^{\mathbb{A}} f: U \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow W \times W_{\mathcal{N}},(x, y) \mapsto$
$\left(f(x), T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f(y)\right)$. This strategy requires to develop some general tools on continuity and smoothness of polynomials, which we relegate to Appendix C.

From the viewpoint of analysis, the interplay between jets and Taylor polynomials is reflected by the interplay between (generalized) difference quotient maps and (various) remainder conditions for the remainder term in a "limited expansion" of a function $f$ around a point $x$. The first aspect is functorial and well-behaved in arbitrary dimension, hence is suitable for defining our general differential calculus; it implies certain radial limited expansions, together with their multivariable versions, which represent the second aspect, and which are the starting point for defining Taylor polynomials (Chapter 2).

This work is organized in four main chapters and three appendices, as follows:
2. Taylor polynomials, and their relation with jets
3. Construction of Weil functors
4. Weil functors as bundle functors on manifolds
5. Canonical automorphisms, and graded Weil algebras

Appendix A: Difference quotient maps and $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action
Appendix B: Differential calculi
Appendix C: Continuous polynomial maps, and scalar extensions
The results of the present work are part of the thesis [So12], and they gave rise to further developments presented in [Be14]. Recently, we learned about the work of V.V. Shurygin (see [Sh02] for an overview); although its framework is different from ours, it has important links with the approach presented here.

Notation. As already mentioned, $\mathbb{K}$ is a commutative unital topological base ring, with dense unit group $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$, and $\mathbb{A}$ is a Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. Concerning "cubic" and "simplicial" differential calculus, specific notation is explained in Appendices A and B. In particular, superscripts of the form [.] refer to "cubic", and superscripts of the form $\langle\cdot\rangle$ refer to "simplicial" differential calculus. In general, the "cubic" notions are stronger than the "simplicial" ones ("cubic implies simplicial", see Theorem B.6). As a rule, boldface variables are used for $k$-tuples or $n$-tuples, such as, e.g., $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$, $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

## 2. Taylor polynomials, and their relation with jets

### 2.1 Limited expansions

Let $V, W$ be topological $\mathbb{K}$-modules and $f: U \rightarrow W$ a map defined on an open set $U \subset V$. Notation concerning extended domains, like $U^{[1]}$ and $U^{\langle k\rangle}$, is explained in Appendix A.

Definition 2.1. We say that $f$ admits radial limited expansions if there exist continuous maps $a_{i}: U \times V \rightarrow W$ and $R_{k}: U^{[1]} \rightarrow W$ such that, for $(x, v, t) \in U^{[1]}$,

$$
f(x+t v)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} a_{i}(x, v)+t^{k} R_{k}(x, v, t)
$$

and the remainder condition $R_{k}(x, v, 0)=0$ hold. We say that $f$ admits multi-variable radial limited expansions if there exist continuous maps $b_{i}$ : $U \times V^{i} \rightarrow W$ and $S_{k}: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow W$, such that, for $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in V^{k}$ with $x+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} v_{i} \in U$,
$f\left(x+t v_{1}+\ldots+t^{k} v_{k}\right)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} b_{i}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right)+t^{k} S_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; 0, \ldots, 0, t)$
and the remainder condition $S_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; 0, \ldots, 0,0)=0$ hold.
Taking $0=v_{2}=v_{3}=\ldots=v_{k}$, the multi-variable radial condition implies the radial condition. For the next result, cf. Appendix B for definition of the class $C^{\langle k\rangle}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Existence and uniqueness of limited expansions). Assume $f$ : $U \rightarrow W$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$. Then $f$ admits limited expansions of both types from the preceding definition, and such expansions are unique, given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{i}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}\right)=f^{\langle i\rangle}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i} ; \mathbf{0}\right), \\
a_{i}(x, h)=f^{\langle i\rangle}(x, h, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Existence follows from Theorem B.5, by letting there $s_{0}=s_{1}=$ $\ldots=s_{k-1}=0$ and $s_{k}=t$. Uniqueness is proved as in [BGN04], Lemma 5.2.
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Recall from Appendix B the definition of the class $C^{[k]}$, and the fact that $C^{[k]}$ implies $C^{\langle k\rangle}$ (Theorem B.6).
Corollary 2.3. Assume $f$ is of class $C^{[2 k]}$. Then, for $i=1, \ldots, k$, the normalized differential

$$
a_{i}(x, \cdot): V \rightarrow W, h \mapsto D_{h}^{i} f(x):=a_{i}(x, h)
$$

is continuous and polynomial (in the sense of Definition C.2), homogeneous of degree $i$, hence smooth.

Proof. See [Be13], Cor. 1.8. The last statement follows from Theorem C.3.

### 2.2 Taylor polynomials

We can now define Taylor polynomials, which are the core of the construction of Weil functors.

Definition 2.4. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$ and fix $x \in U$. The polynomial

$$
\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f: V \rightarrow W, \quad h \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{k} D_{h}^{i} f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(x, h)
$$

is called the $k$-th order Taylor polynomial of $f$ at the point $x$.
Theorem 2.5. If $f: U \rightarrow W$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 \ell]}$, then for all $k \leq \ell$, Tay ${ }_{x}^{k} f$ is a smooth polynomial map of degree at most $k$, without constant term. If, moreover, $f$ is itself a polynomial map of degree at most $k$, then $\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} f$ coincides with $f$, up to the constant term:

$$
f=f(0)+\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} f,
$$

and the homogeneous part $f_{i}$ of $f$ is equal to $a_{i}(0, \cdot)=f^{\langle i\rangle}(0, \cdot, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})$.
Proof. The fact that Tay ${ }_{x}^{k} f$ is smooth and polynomial follows from Corollary 2.3. Next, assume that $f$ is a homogeneous polynomial, say, of degree $j$ with $j \leq k$. Uniqueness of the radial Taylor expansion (Theorem 2.2) implies that, for every homogeneous map of degree $j$ and of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$, we have $f(h)=f^{\langle j\rangle}(0, h, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})$ (see [Be08], Cor. 1.12 for the proof in case $j=2$, which generalizes without changes), whence the claim.
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The radial limited expansion can now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+t h)=f(x)+\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f(t h)+t^{k} R_{k}(x, h, t) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the integers are invertible in $\mathbb{K}$, then, by uniqueness of the expansion, it coincides with the usual Taylor expansion: $D_{v}^{j} f(x)=\frac{1}{j!} d^{j} f(x)(v, \ldots, v)$, see [BGN04].

### 2.3 Normalized differential and polynomiality

Definition 2.6. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$. Recall from above the definition of the normalized differential $D_{v}^{i} f(x):=f^{\langle i\rangle}(x, v, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})$. We define, for all multi-indices $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|:=\sum_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \leq k$, and for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^{k}, x \in U$, the normalized polynomial differential (which is welldefined, by Corollary B.7)

$$
D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(x):=\left(D_{v_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x) .
$$

The following is a generalization of Schwarz's Lemma (Th. B. 2 (iv)):
Lemma 2.7. Let $f: U \subset V \rightarrow W$ a a map of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$. Then, for all multiindices $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|:=\sum_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \leq k$, and for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V^{k}$, the map $D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$ does not depend on the order in wich we compose the normalized differentials $D_{v_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}}$.
Proof. $D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$ is obtained, by restriction to $s=0$, from the map

$$
D_{v, s}^{\alpha} f(x):=\left(D_{v_{k}, s^{(k)}}^{\alpha_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ D_{v_{1}, s^{(1)}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x)
$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, we let $D_{v_{i}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(i)}}^{\alpha_{i}}:=f^{\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right\rangle}\left(x, v_{i}, \mathbf{0} ; \boldsymbol{s}^{(i)}\right)$. From the definition of the simplicial different quotient map, we get, for non-singular $s^{(1)}$ :

$$
D_{v_{1}, s^{(1)}}^{\alpha_{1}} f(x)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{f\left(x+\left(s_{j_{1}}^{(1)}-s_{0}^{(1)}\right) v_{1}\right)}{\prod_{i=0, \ldots, \hat{j}_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}}\left(s_{j_{1}}^{(1)}-s_{i}^{(1)}\right)} .
$$

By induction, we get, for non singular $s^{(i)}$ :

$$
\left(D_{v_{k}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(k)}}^{\alpha_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ D_{v_{1}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(1)}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \sum_{j_{k}=0}^{\alpha_{k}} \frac{f\left(x+\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(s_{j_{\ell}}^{(\ell)}-s_{0}^{(\ell)}\right) v_{\ell}\right)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{k} \prod_{i=0, \ldots, \hat{j}_{\ell}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}}\left(s_{j_{\ell}}^{(\ell)}-s_{i}^{(\ell)}\right)} .
$$

Obviously, the right-hand side term does not change if we apply the operators $D_{v_{i}, s^{(i)}}^{\alpha_{i}}$ in another order. Hence, by continuity and density of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$in $\mathbb{K}$, this remains true for singular values of $\boldsymbol{s}^{(i)}$, and in particular for $\boldsymbol{s}^{(i)}=\mathbf{0}$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be a map of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$. Then:

1. For all $x \in U$ and for all multi-indices $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq k$, the map $V^{k} \rightarrow W, \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$ is polynomial multi-homogeneous of multidegree $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
2. The map $\boldsymbol{v} \mapsto f^{\langle j\rangle}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0})$ is polynomial. More precisely, for all $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\langle j\rangle}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} i \alpha_{i}=j} D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(x) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) Note that $D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)=\left(D_{v_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x)$ is polynomial homogeneous of degree $\alpha_{k}$ in $v_{k}$, by Corollary 2.3. By the previous lemma, the value of $D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$ is independent of the order in which we compose the normalized differentials. Therefore $D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$ is also polynomial homogeneous of degree $\alpha_{i}$ in $v_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{v} \mapsto D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(x)$ is a polynomial multi-homogeneous map of multidegree $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.
(2) On the one hand, we use the $k$-th order radial limited expansion, successively for each variable $v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. This is well-defined (see Corollary B.7).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} v_{i}\right)=f\left(x+\sum_{i=2}^{k} t^{i} v_{i}+t^{1} v_{1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_{1}=0}^{k} t^{\alpha_{1}} D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} f\left(x+\sum_{i=2}^{k} t^{i} v_{i}\right)+t^{k} R_{k}^{1}\left(x, v_{1}, t\right) \\
&=\sum_{\alpha_{1}=0}^{k} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=0}^{k-\alpha_{1}} t^{\alpha_{1}} t^{2 \alpha_{2}}\left(D_{v_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \circ D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f\left(x+\sum_{i=3}^{k} t^{i}\right) \\
& \quad \quad+t^{k} R_{k}^{1}\left(x, v_{1}, t\right)+t^{k} R_{k}^{2}\left(x, v_{1}, v_{2}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \leq k, \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \leq k} t^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots t^{k \alpha_{k}}\left(D_{v_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{k} R_{k}^{i}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, t\right) \\
= & \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k},|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq k} t^{\sum_{i} i \alpha_{i}} D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(x)+t^{k} R_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v}, t), \\
= & f(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}, \sum_{i} i \alpha_{i}=j} t^{j} D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\alpha} f(x)+t^{k} R_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v}, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v}, t):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{k}^{i}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, t\right)+\sum_{j>k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}, \sum_{i} i \alpha_{i}=j} t^{j-k} D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(x)
$$

satisfies the remainder condition $R_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v}, 0)=0$. On the other hand, we use the $k$-th order multi-variable radial limited expansion:
$f\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i} v_{i}\right)=f(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} t^{j} f^{\langle j\rangle}\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{j} ; \mathbf{0}\right)+t^{k} S_{k}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; 0, \ldots, 0, t)$
Relation (2.2) now follows by uniqueness of this expansion (Theorem 2.2).

Remark 2.9. If the integers are invertible in $\mathbb{K}$, then relation (2.2) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\langle j\rangle}(x, \boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}, \sum_{i} i \alpha_{i}=j} \frac{d^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} f\left(x, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}!:=\alpha_{1}!\ldots \alpha_{k}!$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}:=(\underbrace{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{1}}_{\alpha_{1} \times}, \ldots, \underbrace{v_{k}, \ldots, v_{k}}_{\alpha_{k} \times})\left(v_{i}\right.$ appearing $\alpha_{i}$ times). Indeed, $D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} f(x)=\frac{d^{\alpha_{1}} f(x)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)}{\alpha_{1}!}$; iterating this, and using Theorem B.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{v_{1}, v_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}} f(x)=\left(D_{v_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \circ D_{v_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right) f(x) & =\frac{d^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{d^{\alpha_{1}} f(\cdot)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)}{\alpha_{1}!}\right)(x)\left(v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{2}!} \\
& =\frac{d^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} f(x)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2}\right)}{\alpha_{1}!\alpha_{2}!}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on: by induction, we have $f^{\alpha}(x, \boldsymbol{v})=\frac{d^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} f\left(x, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}$, whence (2.3). Note that these formulae are in keeping with the formulae given in [Be08], Chapter 8; however, the methods used there are less well adapted to the case of arbitrary characteristic.

### 2.4 The simplicial $\mathbb{K}$-jet as a scalar extension.

Recall from Appendix B the definition of the (simplicial) $k$-jet of $f, \mathrm{~J}^{k} f$, and that $\mathrm{J}_{(s)}^{\langle k\rangle}$ and in particular $\mathrm{J}^{k}$ are functors (Theorem B.4). They commute with direct products, and hence, applied to the ring $(\mathbb{K},+, m)$ with ring multiplication $m$ and addition $a$, they yield new rings, denoted by $J_{(s)}^{\langle k\rangle} \mathbb{K}$, resp. $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$. These rings have been determined explicitly in [Be13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{(s)}^{\langle k\rangle} \mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X\left(X-s_{1}\right) \cdots\left(X-s_{k}\right)\right), \quad J^{k} \mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X^{k+1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the class of the polynomial $X$ in $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ by $\delta$, so that $1, \delta, \ldots, \delta^{k}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $J^{k} \mathbb{K}$. The following facts can be proved in a conceptual way, without using the explicit isomorphism:
Lemma 2.10. The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ is $\mathbb{N}$-graded, i.e., of the form

$$
\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}=E_{0} \oplus E_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{k} \quad \text { with } \quad E_{j} \cdot E_{i} \subset E_{i+j} .
$$

In particular, $E_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{k}$ is a nilpotent subalgebra.
Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem B.4: $\mathrm{J}^{k} m$ commutes with the canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action; hence this action is by ring automorphisms. Thus the eigenspaces $E_{j}=\left\{x \in \mathrm{~J}^{k} \mathbb{K} \mid \forall r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}: r . x=r^{j} x\right\}$ define a grading of $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$.

The canonical projection

$$
\pi^{k}: \mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad[P(X)] \mapsto P(0)
$$

is a ring homomorphism having a section $\sigma^{k}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}, t \mapsto t \cdot 1$ (classes of constant polynomials), called the canonical injection or canonical zero section. We denote by $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}=\left\langle\delta, \ldots, \delta^{k}\right\rangle$ the kernel of $\pi^{k}$ (the fiber of $\pi^{k}: \mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ over 0 ). Note that $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ is again a topological ring having a dense unit group; hence we can speak of maps that are smooth (or of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ ) over this ring.

Theorem 2.11 (Simplicial Scalar Extension Theorem). If $f: U \rightarrow W$ is smooth over $\mathbb{K}$, then $f$ admits a unique extension to $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-smooth map $F$ : $\mathrm{J}^{k} U \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W:$ there exists a unique map $F: \mathrm{J}^{k} U \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W$ (namely, $F=$ $\mathrm{J}^{k}$ f) such that

1. F is smooth over the ring $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$, and
2. $F(x)=f(x)$ for all $x \in U$, that is, $F \circ \sigma_{U}=\sigma_{W} \circ f$, where $\sigma_{U}$ : $U \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} U$ and $\sigma_{W}: W \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W$ are the canonical injections.

More precisely, any $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-smooth map $F: \mathrm{J}^{k} U \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the base $\sigma_{U}(U) \subset \mathrm{J}^{k} U$.

Proof. Existence has been proved in [Be13], Theorem 2.7. Uniqueness is a consequence of Theorem 2.8: for $F$ as in the claim, we will establish an "explicit formula" in terms of its values on the base $\sigma_{U}(U)$. Let $z=$ $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=v_{0}+\delta v_{1}+\ldots+\delta^{k} v_{k} \in \mathrm{~J}^{k} U$, with $x \in U$ and $v_{i} \in V$. Since $F$ is smooth over the ring $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$, we may take $t=\delta$ and use the radial expansion of $F$ (cf. proof of Theorem 2.8) at order $k+1$ : we get

$$
F\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta^{i} v_{i}\right)=F(x)+\sum_{\mathbf{0} \neq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{k}} \delta^{\sum_{i} i \alpha_{i}} D_{v}^{\alpha} F(x)
$$

where no remainder term appears, since $\delta^{k+1}=0$. This formula implies uniqueness since, as follows directly from the proof of Lemma 2.7, $D_{v}^{\alpha} F(x)$ is determined by its values on the base (i.e., if $F(x)=0$ for all $x \in U$, then $\left.D_{v}^{\alpha} F(x)=0\right)$.

Corollary 2.12. Assume $P, Q: V \rightarrow W$ are $\mathbb{K}$-smooth polynomial maps. Then:
i) $\mathrm{J}^{k} P: \mathrm{J}^{k} V \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W$ is a $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-smooth $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-polynomial map, and coincides with the algebraic scalar extension (cf. Appendix A, Definition C.6) $P_{\mathrm{J} k \mathbb{K}}$ of P from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$.
ii) The restriction $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} P$ of $\mathrm{J}^{k} P$ to the fiber $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} V=V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ over 0 is again a polynomial map, and it coincides with the algebraic scalar extension of $P$ from $\mathbb{K}$ to the (non-unital) ring $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}$.
iii) Assume $P(0)=Q(0)$. Then $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} P=\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} Q$ if, and only if, $P \equiv Q$ $\bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k)$ (i.e., $P$ and $Q$ coincide up to terms of degree $>k)$.

Proof. i) The extension $P_{\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}}$ of $P$ from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ is $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-smooth, $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ polynomial and satisfies the extension condition:

$$
P_{\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}} \circ \sigma_{U}=\sigma_{W} \circ P,
$$

(see Theorem C.7, with $\mathbb{A}=\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ ). By the preceding theorem, the $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ smooth map $\mathrm{J}^{k} P$ coincides with $P_{\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}}$, and thus is $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$-polynomial.

Item ii) is proved by the same argument. Finally, iii) follows from ii) since the algebraic scalar extension of a polynomial whithout constant term $P$ from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ vanishes if and only if $P$ contains no homogeneous terms of degree $j=1, \ldots, k$.

### 2.5 Link between Taylor polynomials and simplicial jets

It follows from Theorem 2.8 that $\mathrm{J}^{k} f(x, \boldsymbol{v})$ is polynomial in $\boldsymbol{v}$. We are going to show that this polynomial can be interpreted as a scalar extension of the Taylor polynomial $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f$ :

Theorem 2.13 (Scalar extension of the Taylor polynomial). Assume $f, g$ : $U \rightarrow W$ and $h: U^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ are of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$ such that $f(x)=g(x)$ and $h\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subset U$. Then:
i) $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f=\operatorname{Tay}{ }_{x}^{k} g \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~J}_{x}^{k} f=\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} g$.
ii) The polynomial map $\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f$ is the scalar extension of the Taylor polynomial Tay ${ }_{x}^{k}$ f from $\mathbb{K}$ to the nilpotent part $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}=\delta \mathbb{K} \oplus \ldots \oplus \delta^{k} \mathbb{K}$ of the ring $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ :

$$
\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f=\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k \mathbb{K}}}
$$

iii) We have the following "chain rule for Taylor polynomials":

$$
\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k}(g \circ h)=\left[\operatorname{Tay}_{h(x)}^{k} g \circ \operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} h\right] \quad \bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k)
$$

(where $\bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k)$ denotes truncated polynomial composition).

Proof. i), " $\Leftarrow$ ": Assume $\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f=\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} g$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} f^{\langle i\rangle}(x, v, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})=\alpha \circ \mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f(v, \mathbf{0})=\alpha \circ \mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f \circ \kappa(v)
$$

with the maps $\alpha: \mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} W=W^{k} \rightarrow W,\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right) \mapsto w_{1}+\ldots+w_{k}$ and $\kappa$ : $V \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{k} V, v \mapsto(v, \mathbf{0})$. It follows that $\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f=\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} g$ implies $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f=\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} g$.
i), " $\Rightarrow$ ": Assume that $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f=\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} g$. Then for $\phi:=f-g$ we have $\phi(x)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} \phi=0$, i.e.,

$$
\forall j=1, \ldots, k, \forall v \in V: \quad \phi^{\langle j\rangle}(x, v, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})=0
$$

In order to prove that $\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} \phi=0$, we have to show that $\phi^{\langle j\rangle}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{j} ; \mathbf{0}\right)=0$, for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{J}^{k} U$. This is done by computing $\phi\left(x+t v_{1}+t^{2} v_{2}+\ldots+t^{k} v_{k}\right)$ in two different ways, using first the radial limited expansion, and then the multi-variable radial limited expansion: let $w:=v_{1}+t v_{2}+\ldots+t^{k-1} v_{k}$; since $\phi(x)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{j} \phi=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x+t w) & =\sum_{j=0}^{k} t^{j} \phi^{\langle j\rangle}(x, w, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})+t^{k}\left(\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(x, w, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0}, t)-\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(x, w, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})\right) \\
& =t^{k}\left(\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(x, w, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0}, t)-\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(x, w, \mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{0})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the multi-variable limited expansion gives, with $x=: v_{0}$,
$\phi\left(x+t v_{1}+\ldots+t^{k} v_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} t^{j} \phi^{\langle j\rangle}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{j} ; \mathbf{0}\right)+t^{k}\left(\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(\boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0}, t)-\phi^{\langle k\rangle}(\boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0})\right)$.
By uniqueness of the radial limited expansion, $\phi^{\langle j\rangle}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{j} ; \mathbf{0}\right)=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$.
(ii) Choose the origin in $V$ such that $x=0$. Now let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$ and let $P:=\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} f$. Since $P$ coincides (up to the additive constant $P(0)=0$ ) with its own Taylor polynomial (Theorem 2.5), it follows that $\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} f=\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} P$, whence, by (i), $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} f=\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} P$, and the latter is $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ polynomial, and coincides with its algebraic scalar extension from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ (Corollary 2.12). Note that the homogeneous parts of degree $>k$ vanish, hence $\mathrm{J}_{x}^{k} f$ is of degree at most $k$.
(iii) Let $R:=\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k}(g \circ h), P:=\operatorname{Tay}_{h(0)}^{k} g, Q:=\operatorname{Tay}_{0}^{k} h$. By (i), $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} h=$ $\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} Q$ and $\mathrm{J}_{h(0)}^{k} g=\mathrm{J}_{Q(0)}^{k} P$. Using this, and functoriality of $\mathrm{J}^{k}$, we get

$$
\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k} R=\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k}(g \circ h)=\mathrm{J}_{h(0)}^{k} g \circ \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{k} h=\mathrm{J}_{Q(0)}^{k} P \circ \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{k} Q=\mathrm{J}_{0}^{k}(P \circ Q),
$$

whence, by Corollary $2.12, R \equiv P \circ Q \bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k)$.

## 3. Construction of Weil functors

### 3.1 Weil algebras

The notion of Weil algebra has been defined in the introduction (Definition 1.1). Weil algebras form a category:

Definition 3.1. $A$ morphism of Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebras is a continuous $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ preserving the nilpotent ideals: $\phi\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{A}}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{B}}$. The automorphism group of $\mathbb{A}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$.

Lemma 3.2. The canonical projection $\pi^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ of a Weil algebra is continuous, and so is its section $\sigma^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, x \mapsto x \cdot 1$. The unit group $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{A}$, and inversion $\mathbb{A}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is continuous.

Proof. Recall first that every element of the group $\operatorname{Gl}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ acts by homeomorphisms on $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ (with product topology), hence the topology on $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is indeed independent of the chosen $\mathbb{K}$-basis. The continuity of $\pi^{\mathbb{A}}$ and of $\sigma^{\mathbb{A}}$ is then clear.

An element $x+y \in \mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is invertible if, and only if, $x$ is invertible in $\mathbb{K}$ : indeed, its inverse is given by

$$
(x+y)^{-1}=x^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^{j}\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{j},
$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\mathcal{N}^{r}=0$. Hence $\mathbb{A}^{\times}=\mathbb{K}^{\times} \times \mathcal{N}$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{A}$, and inversion is seen to be continuous since inversion in $\mathbb{K}$ is continuous.

Example 3.3. The iterated tangent rings and the jet rings,

$$
T^{k} \mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{K}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{2}, \ldots, X_{k}^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{k}, \alpha \neq 0} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathbb{K}
$$

$$
\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X^{k+1}\right) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} \delta^{j} \mathbb{K}
$$

(cf. (2.4)) are Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebras. Note that the permutations of the elements $\varepsilon^{\alpha}$, induced by the permutation group $S_{k}$, define natural Weil algebra automorphisms of $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$. The canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action (Appendix A) on $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$ and on $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ is also by automorphisms. More generally, the following truncated polynomial algebras in several variables are Weil algebras:

$$
\mathbb{W}_{k}^{r}(\mathbb{K}):=\mathbb{K}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right] / I_{r}
$$

where $I:=I_{0}:=\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\rangle$ is the ideal generated by all linear forms and $I_{r}:=I^{r+1}$ is the ideal of all polynomials of degree greater than $r$. This is indeed a Weil algebra: as a $\mathbb{K}$-module, this quotient is the space of polynomials of degree at most $r$ in $k$ variables, which is free. For $k=1$, we have $\mathbb{W}_{1}^{r}(\mathbb{K})=J^{r} \mathbb{K}$, in particular, $\mathbb{W}_{1}^{1}=T \mathbb{K}$. If $\mathbb{A}$ is any Weil algebra, and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $\mathcal{N}$, then, if $\mathcal{N}^{r+1}=0$,

$$
\mathbb{W}_{n}^{r}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}, \quad P \mapsto\left(P(\mathbf{0}), P\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

is well-defined and defines a surjective algebra homomorphism. Thus every Weil algebra is a certain quotient of an algebra $\mathbb{W}_{n}^{r}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathbb{K}$ is a field, then such a representation with minimal $r$ and $n$ is in a certain sense unique, with $n=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{N}^{2}\right)$ and $r$ the smallest integer with $\mathcal{N}^{r+1}=0$ (see [K08], Sections $1.5-1.7$ for the real case; the arguments carry over to a general field), but if $\mathbb{K}$ is not a field, this will no longer hold (for instance, $\mathbb{K}$ itself may then be a Weil algebra over some other field or ring). It goes without saying that a "classification" of Weil algebras is completely out of reach.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ be two Weil algebras over $\mathbb{K}$.

1. The tensor product $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$ (where $\otimes=\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ ) is a Weil algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, with decomposition

$$
\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}=\mathbb{K} \oplus(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M})
$$

2. The "Whitney sum" $\mathbb{A} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}:=\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B} / \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is a Weil algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, with decomposition

$$
\mathbb{A} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B} \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M})
$$

3. Both constructions are related by the following "distributive law"

$$
\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\mathbb{B} \oplus \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}\right) \oplus_{\mathbb{A}}\left(\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. The tensor product of two commutative algebras is again a commutative algebra, and we have a chain of ideals $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M} \subset(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \subset$ $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$. Since $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$ is again free and finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{K}$, the product topology is canonically defined on $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$ and on the respective quotients. The given decompositions are standard isomorphisms on the algebraic level, and by the preceding remarks they are also homeomorphisms.

Example 3.5. The tensor product $T^{k} \mathbb{K} \otimes T^{\ell} \mathbb{K}$ is naturally isomorphic to $T^{k+\ell} \mathbb{K}$. The direct sum $T \mathbb{K} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \ldots \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} T \mathbb{K}$ ( $n$ factors) is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{W}_{n}^{1}(\mathbb{K})$ (the Weil algebra of " $n$-velocities"). The Weil algebra $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{W}_{k}^{1}(\mathbb{K})$.

### 3.2 Extended domains

As a first step towards the definition of Weil functors, we have to define the extended domains of open sets $U$ in a topological $\mathbb{K}$-module $V$. The algebraic scalar extension $T^{\mathbb{A}} V:=V_{\mathbb{A}}:=V \otimes \mathbb{A}$ decomposes as

$$
V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \otimes(\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N})=V \oplus(V \otimes \mathcal{N})=V \oplus V_{\mathcal{N}}
$$

and, if $\mathcal{N}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{K}^{n}$ with respect to a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $\mathcal{N}$, then $V_{\mathcal{N}}$ is isomorphic, as topological $\mathbb{K}$-module, to $V^{n}$ with product topology. The canonical projection and its section,

$$
\pi_{V}:=\pi_{V}^{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \oplus V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow V, \quad \sigma_{V}:=\sigma_{V}^{\mathbb{A}}: V \rightarrow V \oplus V_{\mathcal{N}}
$$

are continuous. More generally, for any non-empty subset $U \subset V$ we define the $\mathbb{A}$-extended domain to be

$$
T^{\mathbb{A}} U:=\left(\pi_{V}\right)^{-1}(U)=U \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \subset V_{\mathbb{A}} .
$$

For any $x \in U$, the set $T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} U:=T^{\mathbb{A}}(\{x\}) \cong V_{\mathcal{N}} \subset T^{\mathbb{A}} U$ is called the fiber over $x$.

Let $P: V \rightarrow W$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial map, of degree at most $k$. Let $P_{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$ be its scalar extension from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathbb{A}$ and $P_{\mathcal{N}}: V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{N}}$
be its scalar extension from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. That is, if $P=\sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i}$ with $P_{i}$ homogeneous of degree $i$, then

$$
P_{\mathbb{A}}(v \otimes a)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(P_{i}\right)_{\mathbb{A}}(v \otimes a)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i}(v) \otimes a^{i}
$$

(cf. Appendix C). Then $P_{\mathbb{A}}$ extends $P$ in the sense that $P_{\mathbb{A}}(v \otimes 1)=P(v) \otimes 1$, i.e.,

$$
P_{\mathbb{A}} \circ \sigma_{V}=\sigma_{W} \circ P .
$$

Note that we have also $P \circ \pi_{V}=\pi_{W} \circ P_{\mathbb{A}}$. In the same way, we define $P_{\mathcal{N}}$; mind that there is no commutative diagram of sections, as there is no natural section $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

### 3.3 Construction of Weil functors

The following main result generalizes Theorem 2.11 from $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ to the case of an arbitrary Weil algebra $\mathbb{A}$ :

Theorem 3.6. (Existence und uniqueness of Weil functors) Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be of class $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ a Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. Then $f$ extends to an $\mathbb{A}$-smooth map: there exists a map $T^{\mathbb{A}} f: T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} W$ such that:

1. $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}}^{[\infty]}$,
2. $T^{\mathbb{A}} f \circ \sigma_{U}=\sigma_{W} \circ f$, i.e., $T^{\mathbb{A}} f(x, \mathbf{0})=(f(x), \mathbf{0})$ for all $x \in U$,
3. $\pi_{W} \circ T^{\mathbb{A}} f=f \circ \pi_{U}$.

The map $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is uniquely determined by properties (1) and (2): if $F$ : $T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} W$ is of class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}}^{[\infty]}$ and such that $F \circ \sigma_{U}=\sigma_{W} \circ f$, then $F=T^{\mathbb{A}} f$. More generally, any $\mathbb{A}$-smooth map $F: T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} W$ is entirely determined by its values on the base $\sigma_{U}(U)$.

Proof. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ be of class $\mathcal{C}^{[2 k]}$. Assume $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is a Weil algebra with $\mathcal{N}$ nilpotent of order $k+1$. For all $x \in U$, define

$$
T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f:=\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}: V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{N}}
$$

to be the scalar extension from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ of the Taylor polynomial $\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f$, and let

$$
T^{\mathbb{A}} f: T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} W, \quad(x, z) \mapsto\left(f(x), T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f(z)\right)
$$

It satisfies property (3). Since $T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is polynomial without constant term, property (2) of the theorem is fulfilled. In order to prove property (1), we prove first that $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is continuous: first of all, according to Theorem C. 7 (Appendix C), since $P:=\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f: V \rightarrow W$ is a continuous polynomial, its scalar extension $P_{\mathcal{N}}: V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{N}}, z \mapsto\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}(z)$ is continuous. By direct inspection of the proof of Theorem C.7, one sees that the dependence on $x$ is also continuous, i.e., $(x, z) \mapsto\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}(z)$ is again continuous, and hence $(x, y) \mapsto T^{\mathbb{A}} f(x, y)$ is continuous (cf. Remark C.9).

Next we prove the functoriality rule $T^{\mathbb{A}}(f \circ g)=T^{\mathbb{A}} f \circ T^{\mathbb{A}} g$. For this, we use the "chain rule for Taylor polynomials" (Theorem 2.13, Part (iii)), together with nilpotency of $\mathcal{N}$ and the fact that, if $P$ is a polynomial containing only terms of degree $>k$, then $P_{\mathcal{N}}=0$ by nilpotency. From this we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}}(g \circ f) & =\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k}(g \circ f)\right)_{\mathcal{N}} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{f(x)}^{k} g \circ \operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f \bmod (\operatorname{deg}>k)\right)_{\mathcal{N}} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{f(x)}^{k} g \circ \operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{f(x)}^{k} g\right)_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}} \circ\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}} \\
& =T_{f(x)}^{\mathbb{A}} g \circ T_{x}^{\mathbb{A}} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ is a functor. It is obviously product preserving in the sense that $T^{\mathbb{A}}(f \times g)=T^{\mathbb{A}} f \times T^{\mathbb{A}} g$.

Now we can prove that $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is smooth over $\mathbb{A}$. In fact, all arguments used for the proof of [Be08], Theorem 6.2 (see also [Be13], Theorems 3.6, 3.7) apply: $T^{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{K})=\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ is again a ring, and this ring is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}$ itself; the conditions defining the class $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ can be defined by a commutative diagram invoking direct products, hence, applying a product preserving functor yields the same kind of diagram over the ring $\mathbb{A}=T^{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{K}$. One gets that

$$
\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} f\right)^{11[, \mathbb{A}}=T^{\mathbb{A}}\left(f^{11[1, \mathbb{K}}\right)
$$

Since $f^{[1]}$ is smooth, $T^{\mathbb{A}}\left(f^{[1], \mathbb{K}}\right)$ is continuous by the preceding steps, hence $\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} f\right)^{11[, \mathbb{A}}$ admits a continuous extension $\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} f\right)^{[1], \mathbb{A}}=T^{\mathbb{A}}\left(f^{[1], \mathbb{K}}\right)$, proving
that $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ over $\mathbb{A}$. By induction, $f$ is then actually $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$ over $\mathbb{A}$. This proves the existence statement.

Uniqueness is proved by adapting the method used in the proof of Theorem 2.11: fix a $\mathbb{K}$-basis $\left(1=a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{A}$ and write an element of $T^{\mathbb{A}} U$ in the form $x+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}$ with $x \in U$ and $v_{i} \in V$. For $F=T^{\mathbb{A}} f$, we develop in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, replacing the scalar $t^{i}$ by $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and taking $k+1$-th order radial expansions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}\right)=F(x)+\sum_{\mathbf{0} \neq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} D_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} F(x), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as in the proof of Theorem 2.11, no remainder term appears, because of the nilpotency of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Since $x \in U$, we have by assumption $F(x)=f(x)$, and since all $v_{i} \in V$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.11, it follows that $D_{v}^{\alpha} F(x)=D_{v}^{\alpha} f(x)$, hence $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ is determined by its values on the base. In the same way, we can develop any $T^{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{K}$-smooth map $F$, thus proving that $F$ is determined by its values on the base $U$.

Equation (3.1) gives in fact an expansion for any $\mathbb{A}$-smooth function $F$. It can be considered as a generalization of Theorem 2.1 from [Sh02].

## 4. Weil functors as bundle functors on manifolds

### 4.1 Manifolds

Next we state the manifold-version of the preceding result. In order to fix terminology, let us recall the definition of smooth manifolds:

Definition 4.1. Let $V$ be a topological $\mathbb{K}$-module, called the model space of the manifold. A (smooth) $\mathbb{K}$-manifold (with atlas, and modelled on $V$ ) is a pair $(M, \mathcal{A})$, where $M$ is a topological space and $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-atlas of $M$, i.e., an open covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $M$, together with bijections $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow$ $V_{i}:=\phi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$ onto open subsets $V_{i} \subset V$, such that the chart changes $\phi_{i j}:=$ $\phi_{i} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}{ }_{\mid \phi_{j}\left(V_{j i}\right)}: V_{j i} \rightarrow V_{i j}$ are of class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}^{[\infty]}$, where $V_{i j}:=\phi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{K}$-smooth maps between manifolds are defined in the usual way.

For our purposes, it will be useful to assume always that a manifold is given with atlas (maximal or not). The category of $\mathbb{K}$-manifolds will be denoted by $\mathcal{M} a n_{\mathbb{K}}$. If $\mathbb{A}$ is a Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, then the category $\mathcal{M a n}_{\mathbb{A}}$ of smooth $\mathbb{A}$-manifolds is well-defined.

Theorem 4.2. (Weil functors on manifolds)

1. There is a unique functor $T^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathcal{M a n}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M a n}_{\mathbb{A}}$, which coincides on open subsets $U$ of topological $\mathbb{K}$-modules with the assignment $U \mapsto$ $T^{\mathbb{A}} U, f \mapsto T^{\mathbb{A}} f$ described in Theorem 3.6. Moreover, this functor is product preserving.
2. The construction from (1) is functorial in $\mathbb{A}:$ if $\Phi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a morphism of Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, then this defines canonically and in a functorial way for all $\mathbb{K}$-manifolds $M$ a smooth map $\Phi_{M}: T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{B}} M$ such that, for all $\mathbb{K}$-smooth maps $f: M \rightarrow N$, we have

$$
T^{\mathbb{B}} f \circ \Phi_{M}=\Phi_{N} \circ T^{\mathbb{A}} f .
$$

Proof. Recall that a manifold is equivalently given by the following data:

- a topological $\mathbb{K}$-module $V$ (the model space),
- open sets $\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I} \subset V$, where $I$ is a discrete index set,
- $\mathbb{K}$-smooth maps $\left(\phi_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ ("chart changes") satisfying the cocycle relations:

$$
\phi_{i i}=\mathrm{id} \text { and } \phi_{i j} \phi_{j k}=\phi_{i k} \quad(\text { where defined }) .
$$

We may then define the $\mathbb{K}$-manifold $M$ to be the set of equivalence classes $M:=S / \sim$, where $S:=\left\{(i, x) \mid x \in V_{i i}\right\} \subset I \times V$ and $(i, x) \sim(j, y)$ if and only if $\phi_{i j}(y)=x$, equipped with the quotient topology. Conversely, we put $V_{i}:=V_{i i}, U_{i}:=\left\{[(i, x)], x \in V_{i}\right\} \subset M$ and $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow V_{i},[(i, x)] \mapsto x$ to recover the previous data.

Now we prove the existence statement in (1). The functor $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ associates to the topological $\mathbb{K}$-module $V$, to the open sets $V_{i j} \subset V$ and to the $\mathbb{K}$ smooth maps $\phi_{i j}$, the topological $\mathbb{A}$-module $T^{\mathbb{A}} V$, the open sets $T^{\mathbb{A}} V_{i j} \subset$ $T^{\mathbb{A}} V$ and the $\mathbb{A}$-smooth maps $T^{\mathbb{A}} \phi_{i j}$. If $M$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-manifold with model $V$ and atlas $\left(V_{i j}, \phi_{i j}\right)$, then $T^{\mathbb{A}} V$ is a model and $\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} V_{i j}, T^{\mathbb{A}} \phi_{i j}\right)$ is an atlas of
$\mathbb{A}$-manifold. With those data, we have seen that we can construct an $\mathbb{A}$ manifold, denoted by $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$.

The proof of the uniqueness statement in (1) is obvious, since a manifold is entirely given by its model, charts domains and chart changes.
(2) For open $U \subset V$ we define $\Phi_{U}: V \otimes \mathbb{A} \supset T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbb{B}, v \otimes a \mapsto$ $v \otimes \Phi(a)$. This is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear and continuous map, hence $\mathbb{K}$-smooth. In particular, the collection of maps $\Phi_{U}: T^{\mathbb{A}} U \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{B}} U$ for chart domains $U$ defines a well-defined smooth map $\Phi_{M}: T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{B}} M$.

Since $\Phi_{V}$ commutes with scalar extension of polynomials $\left(P_{\mathbb{B}} \circ \Phi_{V}=\right.$ $\Phi_{W} \circ P_{\mathbb{A}}$ ), it also commutes with extended maps, i.e., $T^{\mathbb{B}} f \circ \Phi_{M}=\Phi_{N} \circ$ $T^{\mathbb{A}} f$.

Remark 4.3. If $\Phi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is as in (2), then any $\mathbb{B}$-module becomes an $\mathbb{A}$-module by $r . v:=\Phi(r) . v$. In this way, the target manifold $T^{\mathbb{B}} M$ can also be seen as a smooth manifold over $\mathbb{A}$, in such a way that $\Phi_{M}$ becomes $\mathbb{A}$-smooth. This remark will be important for further developments in differential geometry (subsequent work).

### 4.2 Weil functors: bundle point of view

Next we state the bundle version of the main theorem, and we give the formulation of certain operations on Weil bundles in terms of their Weil algebras. The precise definitions of notions related to bundles are explained after the statement of the results.

Theorem 4.4 (Weil functors as bundle functors). Let $M \in \mathcal{M a n}_{\mathbb{K}}$ modelled on $V$ and $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ a Weil algebra such that $\mathcal{N}$ is nilpotent of order $k+1$. Then

1. $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is a $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K})$-smooth polynomial bundle of degree $k$ with section over $M$ and with fiber modelled on $V_{\mathcal{N}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{N}$. More precisely, the chart changes are polynomial in fibers of degree $k$ and without constant term. In particular, if $\mathcal{N}^{2}=0$, then $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is a vector bundle over $M$.
2. $T^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathcal{M a n}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} B u n_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{A}}$ is the unique functor into bundles with section which coincides on open subsets $U$ in topological $\mathbb{K}$-modules with the assignment $U \mapsto T^{\mathbb{A}} U$.
3. If $\Phi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a morphism of Weil algebras, then $\left(\Phi_{M}, \operatorname{id}_{M}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ smooth and intrinsically linear bundle morphism between $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ and $T^{\mathbb{B}} M$.

Theorem 4.5 (The " $K$-theory of Weil bundles"). Let $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathbb{B}=$ $\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ be $\mathbb{K}$-Weil algebras.

1. The Weil functor defined by the Whitney sum $\mathbb{A} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}$ of two Weil algebras (cf. Lemma 3.4) is naturally isomorphic to the Whitney sum of $T^{\mathbb{A}}$ and $T^{\mathbb{B}}$ over the base manifold, i.e., for all $M \in \mathcal{M} a n_{\mathbb{K}}$,

$$
T^{\mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{K} \mathbb{B}} M \cong T^{\mathbb{A}} M \times_{M} T^{\mathbb{B}} M,
$$

where $\times_{M}$ denotes the bundle product over M. By transport of structure, this defines a structure of $\mathbb{A} \oplus_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}$-manifold on $T^{\mathbb{A}} M \times_{M} T^{\mathbb{B}} M$.
2. The Weil functor defined by the tensor product $\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}$ is isomorphic to the composition $T^{\mathbb{B}} \circ T^{\mathbb{A}}$, and the typical fiber of $T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{k} \mathbb{B}} M$ over $M$ is $\mathbb{K}$-diffeomorphic to

$$
V_{\mathcal{N}} \oplus V_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus V_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}} .
$$

3. There is a natural bundle isomorphism $T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}} M \cong T^{\mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{A}} M$ called the generalized flip.
4. There is a natural "distributivity isomorphism" of bundles over $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$

$$
T^{\mathbb{A}}\left(T^{\mathbb{B}} M \times_{M} T^{\mathbb{B}^{\prime}} M\right) \cong T^{\mathbb{A}} T^{\mathbb{B}} M \times_{T^{\mathbb{A}} M} T^{\mathbb{A}} T^{\mathbb{B}^{\prime}} M .
$$

We stress once again that the bundles $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ and $T^{\mathbb{B}} M$ are in general not vector bundles, so that there is no natural "fiberwise notion of tensor product". Nevertheless, there exists some relation between the bundle $T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}} M$ and what one might expect to be a "fiberwise tensor product"; this question is closely related to the topic of connections and will be left to subsequent work. - Before turning to the (easy) proofs of both theorems, let us give the relevant definitions:

Definition 4.6. An $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K})$-smooth fiber bundle (with atlas) is given by:

1. a surjective $\mathbb{K}$-smooth map $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ from an $\mathbb{A}$-smooth manifold $E$ (the total space), onto a $\mathbb{K}$-smooth manifold $M$ (the base),
2. a type: an operation on the left $\mu: G \times F \rightarrow F,(g, y) \mapsto \rho(g) y$ of a group $G$ (the structural group) on a $\mathbb{K}$-smooth manifold $F$ (the typical fiber),
3. a bundle atlas, which induces the condition of local triviality: there are

- a $\mathbb{K}$-manifold atlas $\left(U_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)_{i}$ of $M$, and
- A-diffeomorphisms $\alpha_{i}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow V_{i} \times F$, called bundle charts, such that the following diagram commutes:


4. We require the bundle charts to be compatible, that is, for all bundle chart changes

$$
\alpha_{i j}:=\alpha_{i} \circ \alpha_{j}^{-1}: V_{i j} \times F \rightarrow V_{i j} \times F,
$$

there exist maps $\gamma_{i j}: V_{i j} \rightarrow G$ (transition functions) satisfying

$$
\alpha_{i j}(x, y)=\left(\phi_{i j}(x), \rho\left(\gamma_{i j}(x)\right) y\right) .
$$

A bundle morphism between two $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K})$-smooth bundles $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ and $\pi^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is, as usual, a pair of maps $(\Phi, \phi)$, where $\Phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$ smooth map and $\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-smooth map such that $\pi^{\prime} \circ \Phi=\phi \circ \pi$. Finally, a bundle with section is a fiber bundle together with a $\mathbb{K}$-smooth section $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$ of $\pi: E \rightarrow M$, and $a$ morphism of bundles with section is a morphism of fiber bundles commuting with sections: $\Phi \circ \sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \circ \phi$.

Note that if we fix $x \in V_{i j}$, then the maps $y \mapsto \rho\left(\gamma_{i j}(x)\right) y$ are $\mathbb{K}$ diffeomorphisms, so that we can see $G$ (in fact $\rho(G)$ ) as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathbb{K}}(F)$. We do not require $\mu$ and $\gamma_{i j}$ to be smooth. If it is the case (in particular, if $G$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-Lie group), then the bundle is said to be strongly differentiable. Obviously, $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K})$-smooth bundles form a category, denoted by $\mathcal{B} u n_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{A}}$. Bundles with section also form a category, denoted by $\mathcal{S} B u n$.

Definition 4.7 (Polynomial bundle). Let $V$, $W$ be topological $\mathbb{K}$-modules.

1. A fiber bundle with atlas is called a $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial bundle of degree $k$ if the typical fiber is a $\mathbb{K}$-module and if the structural group $G$ acts polynomially of degree $k$ on the typical fiber $F$ (thus $\rho(G)$ is then a subgroup of the polynomial group $\operatorname{GP}_{k}(F)$, see Definition C.2), i.e., if the bundle chart changes $\alpha_{i j}$ are $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial of degree $k$ in fibers. In particular, an affine bundle is a polynomial bundle of degree 1. If, moreover, the bundle chart changes are without constant term, then the bundle is a vector bundle.
2. A map $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ between fiber bundles with atlas is called intrinsically $\mathbb{K}$-linear (resp. $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial) if the typical fibers are $\mathbb{K}$ modules, if it maps fibers to fibers and if, with respect to all charts from the given atlasses, the chart representation of $f: E_{x} \rightarrow E_{f(x)}^{\prime}$ is $\mathbb{K}$-linear (resp. $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial).

Proof. (of Theorem 4.4) (1) We have seen that $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-manifold. Moreover, the Weil algebras morphisms $\pi^{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and its section $\sigma^{\mathbb{A}}:$ $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, t \mapsto t \cdot 1$ induce $\mathbb{K}$-smooth morphisms $\pi_{M}^{\mathbb{A}}: T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow M$ and its section $\sigma_{M}^{\mathbb{A}}: M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} M$ by Theorem 4.2. Locally, over a chart domain $U, \pi^{\mathbb{A}}$ is given by the linear map $T^{\mathbb{A}} U=U \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow U$. The section $\sigma^{\mathbb{A}}$ is locally given by $U \rightarrow U \times V_{\mathcal{N}}, x \mapsto(x, 0)$.

Let us show that this bundle is indeed locally trivial, with typical fiber $V_{\mathcal{N}}$, and that the chart changes are polynomial in fibers. The bundle atlas is given by the $\mathbb{K}$-manifold atlas $\left(U_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$ of $M$ and by the $\mathbb{A}$-diffeomorphisms $\alpha_{i}: U_{i} \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow V_{i} \times V_{\mathcal{N}},(x, y) \mapsto T^{\mathbb{A}} f(x, y)=\left(f(x),\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\right)$. The maps $y \mapsto\left(\operatorname{Tay}_{x}^{k} f\right)_{\mathcal{N}}(y)$ are $\mathbb{K}$-smooth polynomial, of degree at most $k$ and without constant term, hence define an $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{K})$-smooth polynomial bundle over $M$. In particular, if $\mathcal{N}$ is nilpotent of order 2 , then $T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow M$ is a polynomial bundle of degree 1 without constant term, that is, a vector bundle. This proves part (1), and part (2) follows directly from the uniqueness statement in 3.6, and (3) from 4.2.

Proof. (of Theorem 4.5). (1) Let $f: V \supset U \rightarrow W$ smooth over $\mathbb{K}$. The result follows from

$$
T^{\mathbb{A}} U \times_{U} T^{\mathbb{B}} U=U \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \times V_{\mathcal{M}}=T^{\mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{k}} \mathbb{B} U
$$

and applying part (2) of the preceding theorem.
(2) Let $f: V \supset U \rightarrow W$ smooth over $\mathbb{K}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} U\right)\right)=T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(U \times V_{\mathcal{N}}\right) & =\left(U \times V_{\mathcal{N}}\right) \times\left(V \times V_{\mathcal{N}}\right)_{\mathcal{M}} \\
& =U \times V_{\mathcal{N}} \times V_{\mathcal{M}} \times V_{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}} \\
& =U \times V_{\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}} \\
& =T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}} U
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying twice Theorem 3.6 and noting that $\sigma_{T^{\mathbb{A}} U}^{\mathbb{A}} \circ \sigma_{U}^{\mathbb{A}}=\sigma_{U}^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}}$, it follows that

$$
T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} f\right): T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} U\right) \rightarrow\left(W \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{A}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}
$$

is an extension of $f$ that is smooth over the ring $T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{K}\right)=T^{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{A}=\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}$. Hence, by the uniqueness statement in Theorem 4.2, $T^{\mathbb{B}}\left(T^{\mathbb{A}} f\right)=T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}} f$.
(3) follows from the Weil algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B} \cong \mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{A}$.
(4) follows from (1), (2), (3) and Lemma 3.4 (3).

## 5. Canonical automorphisms, and graded Weil algebras

### 5.1 Canonical automorphisms

Concerning the action of the "Galois group" Aut $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$, Theorem 4.4 implies immediately that it acts canonically by certain intrinsically $\mathbb{K}$-linear bundle automorphisms of $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$, called canonical automorphisms:

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A}) \times T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} M, \quad(\Phi, u) \mapsto \Phi_{M}(u)
$$

This action commutes with the natural action of the diffeomorphism group $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathbb{K}}(M)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathbb{K}}(M) \times T^{\mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A}} M, \quad(f, u) \mapsto T^{\mathbb{A}} f(u)
$$

Here are some important examples of canonical automorphisms:
Example 5.1. For each $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, there is a canonical automorphism $T \mathbb{K} \rightarrow$ $T \mathbb{K}, x+\varepsilon y \mapsto x+\varepsilon r y$. The corresponding canonical map $T M \rightarrow T M$ is multiplication by the scalar $r$ in each tangent space. This example generalizes in two directions:

Example 5.2. By induction, the preceding example yields an action of $\left(\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)^{k}$ by automorphisms on the iterated tangent manifold $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$. The action of the diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$then gives the canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action $\rho_{[\cdot]}$ described in Appendix B.

Example 5.3. For each $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, there is a canonical automorphism $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}, P(X) \mapsto P(r X)$. The corresponding action of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$by automorphisms is the action $\rho_{\langle\cdot\rangle}$ described in Appendix B. It is remarkable that, in these cases, the canonical automorphisms can be traced back to isomorphisms on the level of difference calculus (Appendix A):

Theorem 5.4. Let $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, s \in \mathbb{K}^{k}$ and $M a \mathbb{K}$-manifold with atlas modelled on $V$. There is a canonical bundle isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{J}_{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)}^{k} M \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}_{\left(r^{-1} s_{1}, \ldots, r^{-1} s_{k}\right)}^{k} M
$$

given in all bundle charts by $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \mapsto r \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{0}, r v_{1}, \ldots, r^{k} v_{k}\right)$.
Proof. This is a restatement of the homogeneity property Theorem B. 4 (ii) in terms of the invariant language of manifolds (cf. [Be13] for notation).

There is a similar result for the $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action on the bundles $T_{(t)}^{k} M$. For general automorphisms $\Phi$ of $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ or $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$, it seems to be difficult or even impossible to realize them as limit cases of a families of isomorphisms in difference calculus.

Example 5.5. The map $T T \mathbb{K} \rightarrow T T \mathbb{K}, x+\varepsilon_{1} y_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} y_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} y_{12} \mapsto$ $x+\varepsilon_{1} y_{2}+\varepsilon_{2} y_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} y_{12}$ is an automorphism, called the flip. The corresponding canonical diffeomorphism $T T M \rightarrow T T M$ is also called the fip (see [KMS93]). By induction, we get an action of the symmetric group $S_{k}$ on $T^{k} M$ (see [Be08]). Recall ([BGN04]) that the flip comes from Schwarz's Lemma, and that the proof of Schwarz's Lemma in loc. cit. uses a symmetry of difference calculus in $\boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{12}\right)$ when $t_{12}=0$. It is not clear whether such a symmetry extends to difference calculus for all $t$.

In a similar way, for any Weil algebra $\mathbb{A}$, the map $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}, a \otimes$ $a^{\prime} \mapsto a^{\prime} \otimes a$ is an automorphism, called the generalized flip. The corresponding canonical diffeomorphism $T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}} M \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}} M$ is also called the generalized flip.

### 5.2 Graded Weil algebras and their automorphisms

Definition 5.6. A Weil algebra $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ is called $\mathbb{N}$-graded (of length $k$ ) if it is of the form $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{A}_{0} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{A}_{k}$ with free submodules $\mathbb{A}_{i}$ such that $\mathbb{A}_{i} \cdot \mathbb{A}_{j} \subset \mathbb{A}_{i+j}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{0}=\mathbb{K}$.

In [KM04], graded Weil algebras are called homogeneous Weil algebras. All examples of Weil algebras considered so far are graded - in fact, it is not so easy to construct a Weil algebra that does not admit an $\mathbb{N}$-grading (see [KM04]) - and in Lemma 2.10 we have seen that such gradings arise naturally in differential calculus. We are interested in graded Weil algebras because they admit a "big" group of automorphisms. First of all, there is an obvious "one-parameter family" of automorphisms, which generalizes the canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action on $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$ and on $J^{k} \mathbb{K}$ from Example 5.3: if we denote an element $a$ of $\mathbb{A}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} \mathbb{A}_{i}$ by $\left(a_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq k}$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{A}_{i}$ for all $i$, then, for $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$,

$$
\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq k} \mapsto\left(r^{i} a_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq k}
$$

is an automorphism. We will show that in fact there are "multi-parameter families" of automorphisms: recall that usual composition of formal power series without constant term, $Q, P \in \mathbb{K}[[X]]_{0}$, is given by the following explicit formula, for $Q(X)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} X^{n}$ and $P(X)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} X^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \circ P(X)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} X^{n} \quad \text { with } \quad c_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{j},|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=n} a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{j}} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q$ has constant term $b_{0}$, then the same formulae make sense, with $c_{0}=b_{0}$, so that we get an algebra endomorphism $Q \mapsto Q \circ P$. If $P$ has non-vanishing constant term, then the composition is not defined. In order to define, for any $P \in \mathbb{K}[[X]]$, an algebra endomorpism, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{P}: \mathbb{K}[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[X]], \quad Q \mapsto R_{P}(Q):=Q \circ(X P) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $(X P)(X):=X P(X)$ ). From (5.1) we get the explicit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{P}(Q)\right)(X)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} X^{n}\right) \circ\left(X \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} X^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n} X^{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}=n-j} a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{j}}$ (for $n=0$, this has to be interpreted as $u_{0}=b_{0}$ ). It turns out that this formula is compatible with any graded Weil algebra:

Theorem 5.7. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a graded Weil algebra. Then, for any $a=\left(a_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{A}$, the map

$$
R_{a}: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \quad b=\left(b_{i}\right) \mapsto R_{a}(b)=\left(u_{i}\right)_{i}
$$

with $u_{0}=b_{0}$ and

$$
\forall n>0: \quad u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}=n-j} a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{j}} .
$$

is an algebra endomorphism of the Weil algebra $(\mathbb{A},+, \cdot)$. It is an automorphism if, and only if, $a \in \mathbb{A}^{\times}$, i.e., iff $a_{0} \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$.

Proof. $R_{a}$ is well-defined: with notation from the theorem, we have indeed $u_{n} \in \mathbb{A}_{n}$. The map $\Psi: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}[[X]],\left(a_{i}\right)_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} X^{i}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map onto its image $\mathbb{A}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{A}_{0} \oplus \mathbb{A}_{1} X \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{A}_{k} X^{k}$. It intertwines all algebraic structures considered so far: addition + , algebra product • (here we use nilpotency of $\mathbb{A}$ ) and the correspondence $a \mapsto R_{a}$ from the theorem with the correspondence denoted by $R$ from Equation (5.2). Therefore the claims now follow immediately from the corresponding facts seen above for rings of formal power series $\mathbb{A}[[X]]$.

Corollary 5.8. With assumptions and notation as in the theorem, for every element $a \in \mathbb{A}$, there is an intrinsically linear endomorphism induced by $R_{a}$ on each Weil bundle $T^{\mathbb{A}} M$, and this is an automorphism if $a \in \mathbb{A}^{\times}$.

Example 5.9. If $a=a_{0}=r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, the operators $R_{a}$ give us again the canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action.

Example 5.10. Let $\mathbb{A}=T^{k} \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathbb{K}$ (cf. [Be08], Chapter 7), and let $a$ such that $a_{i}=0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $a_{i}=\varepsilon_{j}$ for a fixed $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then $R_{a}$ is the shift operator denoted by $S_{0 j}$ in [Be08], Chapter 20.

Example 5.11. Similarly, for $\mathbb{A}=\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}[X] /\left(X^{k+1}\right)$, with $a=a_{1}=\delta$, we get a "shift" $[P(X)] \mapsto\left[P\left(X^{2}\right)\right]$.

Let us close this section by showing that the automorphisms from Theorem 5.7 form a group. In general, this subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$ is proper (for instance, the flip of $T T \mathbb{K}$ is not of this type), but it seems to be fairly "big".

Theorem 5.12. Using notation from Theorem 5.7, we have for all $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$,

$$
R_{a} \circ R_{b}=R_{a R_{a}(b)} .
$$

The "product" defined on $\mathbb{A}$ by $b \star a:=a R_{a}(b)$ is associative and rightdistributive. The map

$$
(\mathbb{A}, \star) \rightarrow(\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{A}), \circ), \quad a \mapsto R_{a}
$$

is a semigroup homomorphism, and $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$is mapped to $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{A})$. An explicit formula for $\star$ is given by

$$
\left(a_{i}\right) \star\left(b_{i}\right)=\left(u_{n}\right), \quad u_{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} \sum_{\alpha_{0}+\ldots+\alpha_{j}=n-j} a_{\alpha_{0}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{j}} .
$$

Proof. Using the map $\Psi$ from the proof of Theorem 5.7, the first claim amounts to noting that, for power series $P, Q, S$,

$$
S \circ(X Q) \circ(X P)=S \circ(X \cdot P \cdot(Q \circ X P)),
$$

and the fact that $\star$ is associative is proved similarly by noting that

$$
Q \star P=P \cdot(Q \circ X P)=\frac{1}{X}((X Q) \circ(X P)),
$$

so that $\star$ is obtained by push-forward, via the shift $P \mapsto X P$, from usual associative composition $\circ$ on $\mathbb{A}[[X]]_{0}$. Finally, the fact that $a \mapsto R_{a}$ is semigroup morphism holds by definition, and the explicit formula follows from (5.1).

## A. Difference quotient maps and $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action

In this appendix we recall some basic definitions concerning difference calculus from [BGN04] and [Be13], and we emphasize the fact that the group $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$acts, in a natural way, on all objects. In this appendix, $\mathbb{K}$ may be any commutative unital ring and $V$ any $\mathbb{K}$-module (no topology will be used).

## A. 1 Domains and $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action

Let $U \subset V$ be a non-empty set, called "domain". We define two kinds of "extended domains", the cubic one, denoted by $U^{[k]}$ and the simplicial one, denoted by $U^{\langle k\rangle}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which will later be used as domains of definition of generalized kinds of tangent maps, for a given map defined on $U$. By convention, $U^{[0]}:=U=: U^{\langle 0\rangle}$.

Definition A. 1 ("cubic domains"). The first extended domain of $U$ is

$$
U^{[1]}:=\{(x, v, t) \in V \times V \times \mathbb{K} \mid x \in U, x+t v \in U\}
$$

We say that $U$ is the base of $U^{[1]}$, and the maps

$$
\pi^{[1]}: U^{[1]} \rightarrow U,(x, v, t) \mapsto x, \text { and } \sigma^{[1]}: U \rightarrow U^{[1]}, x \mapsto(x, 0,0)
$$

are called the canonical projection, resp. injection. We call

$$
U^{] 1[ }:=\left\{(x, v, t) \in U^{[1]} \mid t \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right\}
$$

the set of non-singular elements in the extended domain. Letting $t=0$, we define the most singular set or tangent domain

$$
T U:=\left\{(x, v, 0) \in U^{[1]}\right\} \cong U \times V .
$$

By induction, we define the higher order extended cubic domains (resp., the set of their non-singular elements) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
U^{[k+1]}:=\left(U^{[k]}\right)^{[1]}, \quad U^{j k+1[ }:=\left(U^{k j}\right)^{1]}
$$

and let $T^{k+1} U:=T\left(T^{k} U\right)$. There are canonical projections $\pi_{[j]}^{[k]}: U^{[k]} \rightarrow$ $U^{[j]}$, and their sections $\sigma_{[j]}^{[k]}: U^{[j]} \rightarrow U^{[k]}$ called canonical injections, for all $j \leq k$. Note that

$$
U^{[2]} \subset\left(V^{2} \times \mathbb{K}\right) \times\left(V^{2} \times \mathbb{K}\right) \times \mathbb{K} \cong V^{4} \times \mathbb{K}^{3}
$$

and similarly we will consider $U^{[k]}$ as a subset of $V^{2^{k}} \times \mathbb{K}^{2^{k}-1}$ and identify $T^{k} U$ with $U \times V^{2^{k}-1}$. Elements of $V$ will be called "space variables", and elements of $\mathbb{K}$ will be called "time variables". We separate space variables and time variables. Correspondingly, we denote elements of $U^{[k]}$ by $(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{t})=$ $\left(\left(v_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \subset\{1, \ldots, k\}},\left(t_{\alpha}\right)_{\emptyset \neq \alpha \subset\{1, \ldots, k\}}\right)$. With this notation, we have in particular, $v_{\emptyset} \in U$, and $T^{k} U=\left\{(\boldsymbol{v}, \mathbf{0}) \in U^{[k]}\right\}$.

An explicit description of the extended domains for $k>1$ by conditions in terms of sets is fairly complicated, as the number of variables growths exponentially. In order to get a rough understanding of their structure, it is useful to note a sort of "homogeneity property".

Definition A.2. The zero order action of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$on $U$ is trivial: $\mathbb{K}^{\times} \times U \rightarrow U$, $(r, x) \mapsto x$. The canonical $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action on $U^{[1]}$ is given by

$$
\rho_{[1]}: \mathbb{K}^{\times} \times U^{[1]} \rightarrow U^{[1]}, \quad(r,(x, v, t)) \mapsto \rho_{[1]}(r) .(x, v, t):=\left(x, r v, r^{-1} t\right) .
$$

This is well-defined: $x+t v \in U$ if and only if $x+r^{-1}$ trv $\in U$. Moreover, the sets $U^{1] 1}$ and TU are stable under this action. The canonical action of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$on $U^{[2]}$ is defined as follows: write $(x, u, t)=\left(\left(v_{\emptyset}, v_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{12}, t_{12}\right), t_{2}\right) \in$ $U^{[2]}$ with $x \in U^{[1]}, u \in V^{[1]}$ and $t \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $x+t u \in U^{[1]}$. For $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{[2]}(r)\left(\left(v_{\emptyset}, v_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(v_{2}, v_{12}, t_{12}\right), t_{2}\right) & =\left(\rho_{[1]}(r) \cdot x, r \rho_{[1]}(r) \cdot u, r^{-1} t\right) \\
& =\left(\left(v_{\emptyset}, r v_{1}, r^{-1} t_{1}\right),\left(r v_{2}, r^{2} v_{12}, t_{12}\right), r^{-1} t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, we define the canonical action $\rho_{[k+1]}: \mathbb{K}^{\times} \times U^{[k+1]} \rightarrow U^{[k+1]}$ via

$$
\rho_{[k+1]}(r) \cdot(x, u, t):=\left(\rho_{[k]}(r) \cdot x, r \rho_{[k]}(r) \cdot u, r^{-1} t\right),
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\rho_{[k+1]}(r) \cdot\left(\left(v_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha},\left(t_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \neq \emptyset}\right):=\left(\left(r^{|\alpha|} v_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha},\left(r^{|\alpha|-2} t_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \neq \emptyset}\right),
$$

where $|\alpha|$ is the cardinality of the set $\alpha \subset\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$. The sets $U^{] k+1[ }$ and $T^{k+1} U$ are stable under this action.

The canonical projections and injections are equivariant with respect to this action. Note that the operator $\rho_{[k]}(r)$ is $\mathbb{K}$-linear. An element of $U^{[k]}$ will be called homogeneous of degree $\ell$ if it is an eigenvector for all $\rho_{[k]}(r)$, where $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, with eigenvalue $r^{\ell}$. For instance, elements $x$ in the base $U$ are homogeneous of degree zero. Now we define another kind of extended domain and explain its relation to the ones considered above:

Definition A. 3 ("simplicial domains"). Let $U \subset V$ be non-empty, and let (in all the following) $s_{0}:=0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$, we define the extended simplicial domains by $U^{\langle 1\rangle}:=U^{[1]}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\langle k\rangle}:=\{(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \in V^{k+1} \times \mathbb{K}^{k} \mid \\
&\left.v_{0} \in U, \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, k: v_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} \prod_{m=0}^{j-1}\left(s_{i}-s_{m}\right) v_{j} \in U\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Its set of non-singular elements is

$$
U^{\backslash k\langle }:=\left\{(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \in U^{\langle k\rangle} \mid \forall i \neq m: s_{i}-s_{m} \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}\right\} .
$$

Its set of most singular elements is

$$
\mathrm{J}^{k} U:=\left\{(\boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0}) \in U^{\langle k\rangle}\right\} \cong U \times V^{k}
$$

For $j<k$, there are obvious canonical projections and injections

$$
\pi_{\langle j\rangle}^{\langle k\rangle}: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow U^{\langle j\rangle}, \quad \text { and its section } \quad \sigma_{\langle j\rangle}^{\langle k\rangle}: U^{\langle j\rangle} \rightarrow U^{\langle k\rangle} .
$$

For the subset of most singular elements, there are also the canonical projection $\pi^{k}: J^{k} U \rightarrow U$ and its section $\sigma^{k}: U \rightarrow J^{k} U$.

Compared to the cubic case, this definition has two advantages: it is "explicit", and the number of variables grows linearly instead of exponentially; its drawback is that it is not inductive. This will be overcome by imbedding the simplicial domains into the cubic ones (Lemma A. 5 below). Note that in [Be13], $s_{0}$ has been considered as a variable. Since all "simplicial formulas" invoke only differences $s_{i}-s_{j}$, this variable may be frozen to the value $s_{0}=0$, as done here. There is an obvious $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action:

Definition A.4. We define the canonical action $\rho_{\langle k\rangle}$ of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$on $U^{\langle k\rangle}$ by
$\rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r)\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k} ; s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right):=\left(v_{0}, r v_{1}, r^{2} v_{2}, \ldots, r^{k} v_{k} ; r^{-1} s_{1}, \ldots, r^{-1} s_{k}\right)$.
It is immediately seen that $\rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r)(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \in U^{\langle k\rangle}$ if and only if $(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \in U^{\langle k\rangle}$, and that $U^{\dagger k}\left\langle\right.$ and $\mathrm{J}^{k} U$ are stable under this action.

Like in the cubic case, projections and injections are $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-equivariant, and we may speak of homogeneous elements (of degree $\ell$ ). Again, elements from the base $U$ are homogeneous of degree zero. An important difference with the cubic case is that here scalars are always homogeneous of the same degree -1 . Next, we define an equivariant imbedding into the cubic domains:

Lemma A.5. The map $g_{k}: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow U^{[k]}$ defined by $g_{k}(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}):=(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{t})$ with

is a well-defined, $\mathbb{K}$-affine and $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-equivariant imbedding of $U^{\langle k\rangle}$ into $U^{[k]}$. Moreover, $g_{k}\left(U^{\dagger k \backslash}\right) \subset U^{1 k[ }$.

Proof. The fact that $g_{k}\left(U^{\langle k\rangle}\right) \subset U^{[k]}$ is directly checked (and follows also from the recursion procedure used in [Be13], Lemma 1.5). In order to check the $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-equivariance $g_{k} \circ \rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r)=\rho_{[k]}(r) \circ g_{k}$, note that on the level of space variables $\boldsymbol{v}$, homogeneous elements $v_{i}$ of degree $i$ are sent again to homogeneous elements of degree $i$ (since $|\{1, \ldots, i\}|=i$ ). On the level of time variables $s$, homogeneous elements $s_{i}$ of degree -1 are sent again to homogeneous elements of degree -1 (since $|\{i\}|=1$ ) and, for $|\alpha|=2$, the $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$-action on homogeneous scalars is trivial. Altogether, this implies the equivariance. The map $g_{k}$ is clearly injective: the inverse (of its corestriction to $g_{k}\left(U^{\langle k\rangle}\right)$ is given by: $g_{k_{\mid g_{k}\left(U^{\langle k\rangle}\right)}^{-1}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{t}):=(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s})$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { c c c } 
{ v _ { 0 } = u _ { \emptyset } } \\
{ v _ { i } } & { = u _ { \{ 1 , \ldots , i \} } }
\end{array} \text { for all } i > 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{0}=0 \\
s_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} t_{\{j\}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Note that this inverse is also $\mathbb{K}$-affine.
The proof shows that the scalar components $t_{\alpha}$ with $|\alpha|=2$ play a special rôle since they are the only ones that are homogeneous of degree zero; one may say that they are a sort of "pivots". Related to this, note that $g_{k}$ does not map $\mathrm{J}^{k} U$ to $T^{k} U$. The imbedding $g_{k}$ has been used in [Be13], Theorem
1.6. For $k=1, g_{1}$ is the identity, and for $k=2,3$, we have explicitly

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2} ; s_{1}, s_{2}\right)= & \left(\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, s_{1}\right),\left(0, v_{2}, 1\right), s_{2}-s_{1}\right) \\
g_{3}\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)= & \left(\left(\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, s_{1}\right),\left(0, v_{2}, 1\right), s_{2}-s_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left((0,0,0),\left(0, v_{3}, 0\right), 1\right), s_{3}-s_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## A. 2 Difference calculus

Let $V, W$ be $\mathbb{K}$-modules, $U \subset V$ a non-empty set and $f: U \rightarrow W$ a map. We first define "cubic" difference quotients and then "simplicial" ones, also called generalized divided differences. The map $g_{k}$ will imbed them into the cubic calculus.

Definition A. 6 ("cubic difference quotients"). The first order difference quotient of $f$ is the map

$$
f^{][1[ }: U^{] 1[ } \rightarrow W, \quad(x, v, t) \mapsto \frac{f(x+t v)-f(x)}{t}
$$

and the extended tangent map is the map

$$
T^{1][ } f: U^{] 1[ } \rightarrow W^{] 1[ }, \quad(x, v, t) \mapsto\left(f(x), f^{11[ }(x, v, t), t\right)
$$

The higher order cubic difference quotients and higher order extended tangent maps are defined by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\mid k+1[ } & :=\left(f^{] k[ }\right)^{11]}: U^{] k+1[ } \rightarrow W \\
T^{\mid k+1[ } f & :=\left(T^{\mid k[ }\right)^{] 1[ }: U^{]^{k+1[ }} \rightarrow W^{j k+1[ } .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [Be13], explicit formulae for $f^{22[ }$ and $T^{12[ } f$ are given; they are quite complicated. Here are two main properties of this construction:

Theorem A.7. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ and $g: U^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ with $f(U) \subset U^{\prime}$. Then
i) Functoriality: $T^{] k[ }(g \circ f)=T^{j k[ } g \circ T^{] k[ } f$ and $T^{\mid k[ } \mathrm{id}_{U}=\mathrm{id}_{T^{j k[ }[U}$.
ii) Homogeneity: for all $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, T^{] k[ } f \circ \rho_{[k]}(r)=\rho_{[k]}(r) \circ T^{1 k[ } f$.

Proof. (i) For $k=1$, this is an easy computation, and for $k>1$, it follows immediately by induction (see [BGN04]). (ii) For $k=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{11} f\left(x, r v, r^{-1} t\right) & =\left(f(x), \frac{f\left(x+r^{-1} t r v\right)-f(x)}{r^{-1} t}, r^{-1} t\right) \\
& =\left(f(x), r f^{] 1[ }(x, v, t), r^{-1} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k>1$, the result follows by induction.
Now we come to simplicial difference calculus and to its imbedding into cubic calculus. In the following, recall that, by definition, $s_{0}=0$.
Definition A. 8 ("simplicial difference quotients"). For a map $f: U \rightarrow W$ we define (generalized) divided differences $f^{\dagger k \lambda}: U^{\dagger k} \rightarrow W$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\rangle 1\langle }\left(v_{0}, v_{1} ; s_{1}\right) & :=f^{] 1[ }\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, s_{1}\right)=\frac{f\left(v_{0}\right)}{s_{0}-s_{1}}+\frac{f\left(v_{0}+\left(s_{1}-s_{0}\right) v_{1}\right)}{s_{1}-s_{0}} \\
f^{\backslash k\langle }(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) & :=\frac{f\left(v_{0}\right)}{\prod_{j=1, \ldots, k}\left(s_{0}-s_{j}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{f\left(v_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} \prod_{m=0}^{j-1}\left(s_{i}-s_{m}\right) v_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=0, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, k}\left(s_{i}-s_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the extended $k$-jet is the map $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{j}\langle } f: U^{\dagger k\langle } \rightarrow W^{\dagger k}$ sending $(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s})$ to

$$
\mathrm{J}^{\jmath k} f(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}):=\left(f\left(v_{0}\right), f^{\succ 1\langle }\left(v_{0}, v_{1} ; s_{1}\right), \ldots, f^{\backslash k\langle }\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k} ; s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) ; \boldsymbol{s}\right)
$$

Theorem A.9. The map $g_{k}: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow U^{[k]}$ defines an imbedding of simplicial into cubic difference calculus in the sense that, for all $f: U \rightarrow W$, we have

Proof. See [Be13], Lemma 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.
Theorem A.10. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ and $g: U^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ with $f(U) \subset U^{\prime}$. Then
i) Functoriality: $\mathrm{J}^{k k}(g \circ f)=\mathrm{J}^{\backslash k}\left\langle g \circ \mathrm{~J}^{\dagger k}\left\langle f\right.\right.$ and $\mathrm{J}^{\dagger k\langle } \mathrm{id}_{U}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{J}) k\langle U}$.
ii) Homogeneity: for all $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, \mathrm{J}^{\dagger k}\left\langle f \circ \rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r)=\rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r) \circ \mathrm{J}^{\rangle k\langle } f\right.$.

Proof. Both statements can be seen as a consequence of Theorems A. 7 and A. 9 above. An independent proof of (i) is given in [Be13], Theorem 2.10, and (ii) can also be proved by an easy direct computation.

## B. Differential calculi

Differential calculus is the extension of difference calculus to singular values. In order to do this, we need additional structure, such as, e.g., topology. We therefore assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is a topological ring such that its unit group $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$ is open dense in $\mathbb{K}$, and we assume that $V, W$ are topological $\mathbb{K}$-modules, $U \subset V$ is open and $f: U \rightarrow W$ is a continuous map. The class of continuous maps will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{0}$ (see [BGN04] for other " $\mathcal{C}^{0}$-concepts"). There are two concepts of differential calculus, which we call "cubic" and "simplicial".

Definition B. 1 ("cubic differentiability"). We say that $f: U \rightarrow W$ is of class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}^{[1]}$ (or just $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ if the base ring $\mathbb{K}$ is clear from the context) if there exists a continuous map $f^{[1]}: U^{[1]} \rightarrow W$ extending the first order difference quotient map: for all $(x, v, t) \in U^{11}\left[\right.$, we have $f^{[1]}(x, v, t)=\frac{f(x+t v)-f(x)}{t}$. By density of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$in $\mathbb{K}$, the map $f^{[1]}$ is unique if it exists, and so is the value

$$
d f(x) v:=f^{[1]}(x, v, 0)=: \partial_{v} f(x) .
$$

The extended tangent map is the map $T^{[1]} f: U^{[1]} \rightarrow W^{[1]}$ defined by

$$
(x, v, t) \mapsto T^{[1]} f(x, v, t)=: T_{(t)}^{[1]} f(x, v):=\left(f(x), f^{[1]}(x, v, t), t\right) .
$$

The classes $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}^{[k]}$ (or shorter: just $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ ) are defined by induction: we say that $f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k+1]}$ if it is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ and if $f^{[k]}: U^{[k]} \rightarrow W$ is again of class $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$, where $f^{[k]}:=\left(f^{[k-1]}\right)^{[1]}$. The higher order extended tangent maps are defined by $T^{[k+1]} f:=T^{[1]}\left(T^{[k]} f\right)$, and the $k$-th order cubic differentials, at $x \in U$, are defined by $d^{k} f(x): V^{k} \rightarrow W,\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \mapsto \partial_{v_{1}} \ldots \partial_{v_{k}} f(x)$.

Theorem B.2. Let $f: U \rightarrow W, g: U^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ with $f(U) \subset U^{\prime}$.
i) Functoriality: $T^{[k]}(g \circ f)=T^{[k]} g \circ T^{[k]} f$ and $T^{[k]} \mathrm{id}_{U}=\mathrm{id}_{T^{[k]}{ }_{U}}$.
ii) Homogeneity: for all $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, T^{[k]} f \circ \rho_{[k]}(r)=\rho_{[k]}(r) \circ T^{[k]} f$.
iii) Linearity: the differential $d f(x): V \rightarrow W$ is continuous and linear.
iv) Symmetry: the $k$-th order cubic differential map $d^{k} f(x): V^{k} \rightarrow W$ is continuous, $k$ times multilinear and symmetric.

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow "by density" from Theorem A.7. Homogeneity of the differential is a special case of (ii), and additivity is proved in a similar way (see [BGN04]). (iv) is a direct consequence of (iii) and of Schwarz' Lemma ([BGN04]).

Functoriality is equivalent to saying that, for $t \in \mathbb{K}$ fixed, $T_{(t)}^{[1]}$ is a functor, and for $t=0$ this gives the usual chain rule. Moreover, for each $t$, the functor $T_{(t)}^{[1]}$ commutes with direct products: $T_{(t)}^{[1]}(f \times g)$ is naturally identified with $T_{(t)}^{[1]} f \times T_{(t)}^{[1]} g$. Analogously, for fixed time variables $\boldsymbol{t}, T_{(t)}^{[k]}$ are functors commuting with direct products. In particular, for $\boldsymbol{t}=\mathbf{0}, T_{(\mathbf{0})}^{[k]}$ is a functor, called iterated tangent functor and denoted by $T^{k}$. Note that $T^{1}=: T$ is the usual tangent functor. From this, we deduce that $T^{[k]} \mathbb{K}, T_{(t)}^{[k]} \mathbb{K}$ and $T^{k} \mathbb{K}$ are again rings, with product and addition obtained by applying the functor to product and addition in $\mathbb{K}$. See [Be13] for more information on these rings.

Definition B. 3 ("simplicial differentiability"). We say that $f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\langle k\rangle}$, or just of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$, if, for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, there are continuous maps $f^{\langle\ell\rangle}$ : $U^{\langle\ell\rangle} \rightarrow W$ extending $f^{\dagger \ell \ell}$. Note that, by density of $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$in $\mathbb{K}$, the extension $f^{\langle\ell\rangle}$ is unique (if it exists), and hence in particular the value $f^{\langle\ell\rangle}(\boldsymbol{v} ; \mathbf{0})$, called the $\ell$-th order simplicial differential is uniquely determined. We define also
$\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} f: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow W^{\langle k\rangle}, \quad(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \mapsto\left(f\left(v_{0}\right), f^{\langle 1\rangle}\left(v_{0}, v_{1} ; s_{1}\right), \ldots, f^{\langle k\rangle}(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) ; \boldsymbol{s}\right)$, and, for any fixed element $s \in \mathbb{K}^{k}$, we define the simplicial $s$-extension of $f$ by

$$
\mathrm{J}_{(\boldsymbol{s})}^{\langle k\rangle} f: \mathrm{J}_{(\boldsymbol{s})}^{\langle k\rangle} U \rightarrow W^{k+1}, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto \mathrm{~J}^{\langle k\rangle} f(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}),
$$

where $\mathrm{J}_{(s)}^{\langle k} U:=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in V^{k+1} \mid(\boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{s}) \in U^{\langle k\rangle}\right\}$. The simplicial $k$-jet of $f$ is

$$
\mathrm{J}^{k} f:=\mathrm{J}_{(\mathbf{0})}^{\langle k\rangle} f: \mathrm{J}^{k} U \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{k} W, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto \mathrm{~J}^{k} f(\boldsymbol{v})=\left(f^{\langle\ell\rangle}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\ell} ; \mathbf{0}\right)\right)_{\ell=0, \ldots, k}
$$

where $f^{\langle 0\rangle}:=f$ by convention.
Theorem B.4. Let $f: U \rightarrow W$ and $g: U^{\prime} \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$ with $f(U) \subset$ $U^{\prime}$.
i) Functoriality: $\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle}(g \circ f)=\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} g \circ \mathrm{~J}^{\langle k\rangle} f$ and $\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} \mathrm{id}_{U}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} U}$.
ii) Homogeneity: for all $r \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, \mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} f \circ \rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r)=\rho_{\langle k\rangle}(r) \circ \mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} f$.

This follows "by density" from Theorem A.10. As in the cubic case, for fixed time variables $s, \mathrm{~J}_{(s)}^{\langle k\rangle}$ is a functor commuting with direct products. From this, it follows as above that $\mathrm{J}^{\langle k\rangle} \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{J}_{(\boldsymbol{t})}^{\langle k} \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathrm{J}^{k} \mathbb{K}$ are again rings. Again, we refer to [Be13] for more information on these rings. In particular, for $s=0, \mathrm{~J}_{(\mathbf{0})}^{\langle k\rangle}$ is a functor called the $k$-th order jet functor, denoted by $\mathrm{J}^{k}$. Note that $\mathrm{J}^{1}=T^{1}$ is the usual tangent functor, and that for $\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{0}$, functoriality gives equation (1.1). According to [Be13], Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.11, there is an equivalent characterization of the class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$ in terms of "limited expansions", having the advantage that no denominator terms appear:

Theorem B.5. A map $f: U \rightarrow W$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$ if, and only if the following simplicial limited expansions hold: for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, there exist continuous maps $f^{\langle\ell\rangle}: U^{\langle\ell\rangle} \rightarrow W$ such that, whenever $(\boldsymbol{v}, s) \in U^{\langle\ell\rangle}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(v_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\left(s_{k}-s_{\ell}\right) v_{j}\right) & =f\left(v_{0}\right)+ \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\left(s_{k}-s_{\ell}\right) f^{\langle j\rangle}\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{j} ; s_{0}, \ldots, s_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The maps $f^{\langle\ell\rangle}$ defined by this condition coincide with those defined in the definition above.

Theorem B. 6 ("cubic implies simplicial"). If $f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$, then $f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k\rangle}$, and the map $g_{k}: U^{\langle k\rangle} \rightarrow g_{k}\left(U^{\langle k\rangle}\right) \subset U^{[k]}$ defines a smooth imbedding of simplicial into cubic differential calculus in the sense of Theorem A. 9 .

Proof. This follows "by density" from Theorem A. 9 (see [Be13], Theorem 1.6).

We conjecture that also "simplicial implies cubic", i.e., if $f$ is $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}$, then it is also of class $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$, but at present this conjecture is not settled. Therefore we will work throughout with a $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$-assumption.

Corollary B.7. If $f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$, then $f^{\langle j\rangle}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k-j]}$, for all $j \leq k$.
Proof. Via the imbedding $g_{j}: U^{\langle j\rangle} \rightarrow U^{[j]}$, we can consider $f^{\langle j\rangle}: U^{\langle j\rangle} \rightarrow$ $W$ as a partial map of $f^{[j]}: U^{[j]} \rightarrow W$. The latter is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k-j]}$, hence the former is also of class $\mathcal{C}^{[k-j]}$, and by the preceding theorem thus also of class $\mathcal{C}^{\langle k-j\rangle}$.

## C. Continuous polynomial maps, and scalar extensions

The purpose of this appendix is to show that continuous $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial mappings are $\mathbb{K}$-smooth, and that their scalar extensions by Weil $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}$ are again continuous, hence smooth over $\mathbb{A}$.

## C. 1 Continuous (multi-)homogeneous maps

As in the main text, $V, W$ are topological modules over a topological ring $\mathbb{K}$.
Theorem C. 1 (separation of homogeneous parts). Assume $P=\sum_{i=0}^{k} P_{i}$ is a sum of $\mathbb{K}$-homogeneous maps $P_{i}: V \rightarrow W$ of degree $i$ (i.e., for all $r \in \mathbb{K}$, $\left.P_{i}(r x)=r^{i} P_{i}(x)\right)$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. The map $P: V \rightarrow W$ is continuous.
2. For $i=0, \ldots, k$, the homogeneous part $P_{i}: V \rightarrow W$ is continuous.

Assume $P=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} P_{\alpha}: V^{n} \rightarrow W$ is a finite sum of multi-homogeneous maps $P_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}: V^{n} \rightarrow W$, with $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, i.e., for all $r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $1 \leq$ $i \leq n, P_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, r x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=r^{\alpha_{i}} P_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. The map $P: V^{n} \rightarrow W$ is continuous.
2. For all $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, the multi-homogeneous part $P_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}: V^{n} \rightarrow W$ is continuous.

Proof. We prove the first equivalence. Obviously, (2) implies (1). Let us prove the converse. Assume that $P$ is continuous. Since $P_{0}$ is constant, it is continuous. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $P_{0}=0$.

Fix scalars $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k} \in \mathbb{K}$ and define continuous maps (depending on these scalars)

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{1}(x):=r_{1} P(x)-P\left(r_{1} x\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left(r_{1}-r_{1}^{i}\right) P_{i}(x), \\
Q_{i+1}(x):=\left(r_{i+1}\right)^{i+1} Q_{i}(x)-Q_{i}\left(r_{i+1} x\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $Q_{i}$ is a linear combination of $P_{i+1}, \ldots, P_{k}$, and in particular, we find that $Q_{k-1}(x)=\lambda P_{k}(x)$ with

$$
\lambda=\left(r_{1}-r_{1}^{k}\right)\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{k}\right) \cdots\left(r_{k-1}^{k-1}-r_{k-1}^{k}\right) .
$$

In order to prove that $P_{k}$ is continuous, it suffices to show that we may choose $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that the scalar $\lambda$ is invertible, because then we have $P_{k}(x)=\lambda^{-1} Q_{k-1}(x)$. Since $Q_{k-1}$ is continuous by construction, it then follows that $P_{k}$ is continuous.

To prove our claim, write $r_{i}^{i}-r_{i}^{k}=r_{i}^{i}\left(1-r_{i}^{m}\right)$ with $m=k-i$. Since the map $f: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, r \mapsto 1-r^{m}$ is continuous and $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$is open, $U:=f^{-1}\left(\mathbb{K}^{\times}\right)$ is open, and $U$ is non-empty since $0 \in U$. Since $\mathbb{K}^{\times}$is open and dense, $U^{\prime}:=U \cap \mathbb{K}^{\times}$is open and non-empty, and we may choose $r_{i} \in U^{\prime}$. Doing so for all $i$, we get an invertible scalar $\lambda$.

Having proved that $P_{k}$ is continuous, we replace $P$ by $P-P_{k}$ and show as above that $P_{k-1}$ is continuous, and so on for all homogeneous parts.

The second equivalence is proved similarly: proceed as above with respect to the first variable $x_{1}$ in order to separate terms according to their degree in $x_{1}$, then use the same procedure with respect to the second variable $x_{2}$, and so on.

## C. 2 Continuous polynomial maps

The following general definition of a $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial map, given in [Bou71], ch. 4, par. 5, has been used in [BGN04] (loc. cit., Appendix A, Def. A.5):

Definition C.2. A map $p: V \rightarrow W$ between $\mathbb{K}$-modules $V$ and $W$ is called homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$ if, for any system $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of generators of $V$, there exist coefficients $a_{\alpha} \in W$ (where $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has finite support
and $\left.|\alpha|:=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}=k\right)$ such that

$$
p\left(\sum_{i \in I} t_{i} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \quad \text { where } t^{\alpha}:=\prod_{i} t_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} .
$$

If $V$ is free (in particular, if $\mathbb{K}$ is a field), then this is equivalent to saying that there exists a $k$ times multilinear map $m: V^{k} \rightarrow W$ such that $p(x)=$ $m(x, \ldots, x)$. In any case, a polynomial map is a finite sum of homogeneous polynomial maps.

The set of polynomial maps $p: V \rightarrow W$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Pol}(V, W)$, and we let $\operatorname{Pol}(V, W)_{0}:=\{p: V \rightarrow W$ polynomial $\mid p(0)=0\}$. If $V=W$, the set of polynomial maps $p: V \rightarrow V$ having an inverse polynomial map $q: V \rightarrow V$ forms a group denoted by $\mathrm{GP}(V)$, called the general polynomial group of $V$. By $\operatorname{GP}(V)_{0}$ we denote the stabilizer subgroup of 0 .

Note that, if $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$, then continuity of $p$ does not always imply continuity of $m$ (if the integers are invertible in $\mathbb{K}$, then, by polarization, we may find symmetric and continuous $m$ ).

Theorem C.3. Every continuous $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial map $p: V \rightarrow W$ is $\mathbb{K}$ smooth.

Proof. Assume $p: V \rightarrow W$ is continuous polynomial. By theorem C.1, we may assume without loss of generality that $p$ is homogeneous of degree $k$. Assume first that $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$ with multilinear $m: V^{k} \rightarrow W$. Since $f$ is continuous,

$$
F: V \times V \rightarrow W, \quad(x, v) \mapsto p(x+v)=m(x+v, \ldots, x+v) .
$$

is continuous and polynomial. Using multilinearity, we expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x+v)=m(x+v, \ldots, x+v)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} M^{k-i, i}(x, v), \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M^{k-i, i}(x, v)$ is the sum of all terms in the expansion of $m$ containing $i$ times the argument $v$ and $k-i$ times the argument $x$. The $i$-th term in (C.1) is the homogeneous part of bi-degree $(k-i, i)$ of the continuous map $F$, and
hence, by theorem C.1, is again a continuous function of $(x, v)$. Now, for $t \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$, we get by a similar expansion as above

$$
p^{[1]}(x, v, t)=\frac{f(x+t v)-f(x)}{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} t^{i-1} M^{k-i, i}(x, v)
$$

and since $M^{k-i, i}(x, v)$ is continuous, the right hand side defines a continuous function of $(x, v, t)$, proving that a continuous extension of the difference quotient function exists, and hence $p$ is $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$. Moreover, $p^{[1]}$ is again continuous polynomial (of total degree at most $2 k-1$ ), hence by induction it follows that $p$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$.

If $p(x)$ is not directly given by a multilinear map $m$, then fix a system of generators $\left(e_{i}\right)$ of $V$ and consider the free module $E$ spanned by the $e_{i}$, together with its canonical surjection $E \rightarrow V$. The map $F$ lifts to map $\tilde{F}: E^{2} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow W$, which we may decompose as above, giving rise to a map $\tilde{m}$ and to maps $\tilde{M}^{k-i, i}$. Passing again to the quotient, we see that the bi-homogeneous components $M^{k-i, i}$ are still continuous maps, and $p^{[1]}$ can be extended continuously as above.

Definition C.4. Assume $p: V \rightarrow W$ is a polynomial map of the form $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$ where $m: V^{k} \rightarrow W$ is $\mathbb{K}$-multilinear. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ with $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=k$ and $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in$ $V^{n}$, let

$$
M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}):=m\left(v_{1}: \alpha_{1} ; \ldots ; v_{n}: \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

be the sum of all terms $m\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ with exactly $\alpha_{i}$ among $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ equal to $v_{i}$.

Lemma C.5. Assume $p: V \rightarrow W$ is a polynomial map of the form $p(x)=$ $m(x, \ldots, x)$ where $m: V^{k} \rightarrow W$ is $\mathbb{K}$-multilinear. Then, if $p$ is continuous, so is the map

$$
M^{\alpha}: V^{n} \rightarrow W, \quad \boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \mapsto M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v})
$$

and it does not depend on the choice of $m$, so that we may write $p^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}):=$ $M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v})$.
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Proof. This follows as above, by considering the continuous map

$$
F: V^{n} \rightarrow W, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto p\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}\right)=m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}\right)
$$

whose $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-homogeneous component is precisely $M^{\alpha}$.

## C. 3 Scalar extensions

A scalar extension of $\mathbb{K}$ is, by definition, a commutative and associative $\mathbb{K}$ algebra $\mathbb{A}$. If $\mathbb{A}$ is unital, then there is a natural map $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, t \mapsto t \cdot 1$.

Definition C.6. Let $p: V \rightarrow W$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-polynomial map, homogeneous of degree $k$. If $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$ with multilinear $m: V^{k} \rightarrow W$, then the scalar extension from $\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathbb{A}$ of $p$ is the map

$$
p_{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{A} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad v \otimes a \mapsto P(v) \otimes a^{k}=m_{\mathbb{A}}(a v, \ldots, a v),
$$

where $m_{\mathbb{A}}:\left(V_{\mathbb{A}}\right)^{k} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the multilinear map defined by the universal propery of the tensor product. If $V$ is not free, let as above $E \rightarrow V$ be the surjection defined by a system of generators, define $\tilde{P}_{\mathbb{A}}: E_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$; then this map passes to $V$ as a map $P_{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Theorem C.7. Assume $\mathbb{K}$ is a topological ring with dense unit group, and $\mathbb{A}$ a scalar extension of $\mathbb{K}$ which is a topological $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, homeomorphic to $\mathbb{K}^{n}$ with respect to some $\mathbb{K}$-basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Assume $p: V \rightarrow W$ is continuous polynomial over $\mathbb{K}$. We equip $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ with the product topology with respect to the decomposition

$$
V_{\mathbb{A}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{K} a_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}\left(V \otimes a_{i}\right),
$$

and similarly for $W_{\mathbb{A}}$. Then $p_{\mathbb{A}}: V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a continuous $\mathbb{A}$-polynomial map.

Proof. Note that the topology on $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ does not depend on the choice of the $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $\mathbb{A}$ since the group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{K})$ acts by homeomorphisms. Assume
first that $p$ is homogeneous of degree $k$ and of the form $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathbb{A}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \otimes a_{i}\right) & =m_{\mathbb{A}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \otimes a_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \otimes a_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n} m\left(v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{k}}\right) \otimes a_{j_{1}} \cdots a_{j_{k}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}: \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=k}} M^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \otimes a_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n},|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=k}^{\alpha} M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v}) \otimes \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma C.5, the map $M^{\alpha}$ is continuous, and hence $p_{\mathbb{A}}$ is continuous. If $p$ is not of the form $p(x)=m(x, \ldots, x)$, then we use similar arguments as at the end of the proof of Theorem C.3.

Remark C.8. If $\mathbb{A}$ is unital, then we have a natural injection $\sigma^{\mathbb{A}}: V \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{A}}$, $v \mapsto v \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} 1_{\mathbb{A}}$, and $p_{\mathbb{A}}$ "extends" $p$ in the sense that $p_{\mathbb{A}} \circ \sigma^{\mathbb{A}}=\sigma^{\mathbb{A}} \circ p$.
Remark C.9. If $p$ is as in the theorem, depending moreover continuously on a parameter $y$ (say, $p(x)=p_{y}(x)$, jointly continuous in $(x, y)$ ), then, since $M^{\alpha}$ does not depend on the choice of $m$ (see lemma C.5), the proof of the theorem shows that $(y, z) \mapsto\left(p_{y}\right)_{\mathbb{A}}(z)$ is again jointly continuous in $(y, z)$.
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